SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMMR_56736**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Colorectal adenocarcinoma in East Africa: A narrative literature review**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors have written a comprehensive review on the status of colorectal adenocarcinoma (CRC) in East Africa. I have following comments for the authors: 1. Abstract – It is too short and provides very limited information. It should be more elaborate and highlight the key findings of this review. 2. The increasing incidence of CRC in East Africa should be depicted graphically by showing the reported incidence of CRC over the last several decades using bar diagram. 3. There are several grammatical mistakes throughout the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	-	

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*Rahul Gupta*
Department, University & Country	*Synergy Hospital, India*