SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_54616
Title of the Manuscript:	Review of Gynaecological Diagnoses and Surgeries performed at a tertiary health facility in Niger-Delta of Nigeria from 2 planning and budgeting.
Type of the Article	Original research article:

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	 This is a very interesting research. It reflected the current medical situation of Niger-Delta of Nigeria. The situation is much different from Chinese tertiary hospital. 1. there is a decline in clinic consultations from about 2000 patients to 800 patients over the 6-year period. I wander whether the patients do not go to the hospital if the government do not pay the bill, or the patients will go to other hospital. 2. I do not find the data on cervical diseases. CIN or cervical cancer. How about TCT/LCT test and HPV test in Nigeria. In china, cervical diseases patients make up a large portion in the total outpatients 3. Iaparoscopic surgery is the tread of the gynecology surgery. Over 90% of the surgery is performed by the laparoscopy. Maybe the government should invest more money on it, if possible 4. MVA for abortions are usually performed in outpatients department and the patients went back home after anaesthesia. It will save healthy resource. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tang Xiang Guangzhou
Department, University & Country	Guangzhou Mecical University, China

2012-2017: Implications for healthcare

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her