SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_52701
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of geometry variation on the mechanical behavior of the proximal femur
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is an interesting paper entitled Effect of geometry variation on the Mechanical behavior of the proximal femur. Authors used a computer program and the actual data obtained from a person and looked the force, stress and tension on Femur bone. As such this paper has lot of application in bone fractures. It may be useful for future care of femur fracture. So, it is acceptable for publication. However, there are minor concerns, which authors have to answers.	
Minor REVISION comments	 Whether they got approval from Institutional review board? They have used data from single person, so there are possibilities for variation taking place between people or between tall and short people. So they should indicate it in the paper by stating that this is a case study of one person. 	
Optional/General comments		

<u>PART 2:</u>

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Panduangan Ramaraj
Department, University & Country	A. T. Still University, USA

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her