



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_57935
Title of the Manuscript:	Fast and Effective Region-based Depth Map Upsampling with Application to Location Map-Free Reversible Data Hiding
Type of the Article	Type of Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On what basis you are partitioning the pixels into 3 categories? 2. 0.052,0.069,0.074 Justify these values. 3. Why you are using ballons video sequence? 4. Are you creating any database in c++ for storing depth copying values? 5. Fig 2: source frame sie is too small than comparison frames; resolution of figure (e) seems non-coinciding with Fig [b,c,d] 6. How 1.634 is possible with JUR as BC+MV+BI itself is giving 0.88; justify 7. The usage of histogram shifting deviates the concept form your work proposal. In the initial level itself, usage of histogram shifting will override depth copying pixel then, what is the need of the first proposal? 8. Only JUR will not give E – capacity as 55.60 50.77 48.56 47.04 JUR + Histogram shifting is required: Justification required 9. How you are telling that your work is fast and efficient: showcase with evidence 10. Fig 4 data missing [E-capacity vs PSNR] 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	If the work has evidence and proper support data then it seems awesome	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	L. M. I. Leo Joseph
Department, University & Country	Sri Rajeshwara University, India