# SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_54013	
Title of the Manuscript:	Large deviation for stochastic differential systems pertubated by a rapid process in the Besov-Orlicz topology	
Type of the Article		

# **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

# **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I think that this manuscript is not well written in English and the contents.	
	Most importantly, the contents in section 2 and section 3 are the existing knowledge in the textbook, so the author should cite the references.	
	Section 4 is the main result of this manuscript, but there are many spelling mistakes in English. Now I point out some of them in the pdf file, please find them. And I hope that the authors should check you English and the details of your own paper because the aim of your paper is to benefit a lot of readers. So it must be readable and innovative in some extent.	
	I wonder why it is not cited in the manuscript which is listed in the References? This is not allowed in the most of the Journal.	
	May be the authors is a new researcher and this is the first time to write the research paper.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	i coasaok noro)

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Guixin Hu
Department, University & Country	Henan Polytechnic University (HPU), P. R. China

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)