



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_52266
Title of the Manuscript:	Primaries Oblateness effects on the Collinear Libration Points in the restricted-three body problem
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The English should be checked. 2) Abbreviations should be disclosed, like the RTBP (Restricted Three Body Problem). 3) It is important to provide an explanation of the starting Hamiltonian (3): What is new in this Hamiltonian in comparison with a usual Hamiltonian used for the problem of 3-bodies. 4) In the Conclusion, the author(s) wrote: "A PSS shows the stability of nature system which are a regular motion and a chaotic motion for the system without oblateness and under the effects of oblateness." I think, the author(s) has to add words that explain in which time interval such a regular motion takes place because in the problem of 3 bodies the exact stable solution does not exist. So, please disclose conditions and the time interval when such a motion is realized. <p>When the author(s) introduces the needed improvements, the manuscript could be accepted for publishing in the Journal.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Volodymyr Krasnoholoovets</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences, Ukraine</i>