



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_51698
Title of the Manuscript:	Oscillation criteria for a class of third-order differential equations with neutral term
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment To my opinion, there are too many papers about oscillations of third-order equations. I suggest that the author(s) need to write an introduction, list some main results about third-order equations. And point out that your paper is a new insight. Even if the equation is the same as others, but the method in the paper is different. It still can be published. Otherwise, it is not feasible if the result or similar result have been published in the literature. When the author(s) affirm that their research is new, and then submit the new version to the journal.	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chunhua Feng
Department, University & Country	Alabama State University, USA