



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_55066
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbiological and Physicochemical Research of Thermal Spring and Mountain Spring Waters in the District of Sliven, Bulgaria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The Introduction should be rewritten with a view to be better structured. It will be better if the studied sites are presented as a separate chapter instead of in the Introduction. The statement "water is an unfavorable environment" is not correct and on a whole is needless in the context of the study. The analyzed parameters should be described in M & M, not in the Results. What is the reason to assert that the sulphate content in the mineral water of the Sliven mineral baths is not normal? Is there a norm for this concrete mineral water? In the Tables 1 & 2 a columns with the "normal" values should be included. Why the abnormal values of microbiological parameters in the Gunchov Spring are neither discussed nor commented in the conclusion?	
Optional/General comments	The English language needs further revision	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lachezar Pehlivanov
Department, University & Country	Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Bulgaria