



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_54741
Title of the Manuscript:	Overdose Administration of Thermostable Newcastle Disease Vaccines to Naïve Unvaccinated 6 Weeks Old Cockerels at NVRI, Vom Plateau state, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Research article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	I think there is a need for the authors to make known the justification for this study and why overdose vaccination was carried out How did you decide this claim of harmlessness in birds and handlers? Did you check the antibody levels before the experiment? What was the vaccination history of the experimental birds? What about the embryonated eggs? Were there sourced from ND vaccination –free flocks? As all these may possibly play some roles in the outcome If I may ask, have you considered possible toxicities as a result of the overdose. May be blood analysis and or serum biochemistry may answer that? Mere physical observation of the birds may not give a conclusion on their safety or health status	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Please check the manuscripts for other corrections and comments	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The conclusion written cannot be derived from the experimental study, as such some research gaps need to be filled to do that	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Adebowale Adebiji</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Ibadan, Nigeria</b>