



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_53862
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of in vitro antibacterial activity of Rumex vesicarius leaves extracts against twelve pathogenic bacterial
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Page no.1 Lin no.1 write from instead of for - Page no.1 Lin no. 2 write from instead of of - Page no.1 Lin no. 9 write from instead of of - Page no.1 Lin no. 10 write from instead of against - Page no.1 Lin no. 10 write concluded instead of conclude - Page no.1 Lin no.11 write from instead of of <p>INTRODUCTION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Page no.1 Lin no.1 write among instead of around - Page no.1 Lin no.3 write of instead of for - Page no.1 Lin no.9 write cool instead of cooling - Page no.1 Lin no.2 write of instead of to - Page no.2 Lin no.6 write for instead of in - Page no.2 Lin no.6 write investigation instead of investigate - Page no.2 Lin no.6 write for instead of against <p>Materials and Methods</p> <p>Plant samples</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Page no.3 Lin no.2 write was instead of were - Page no.3 Lin no.3 write of instead of with - Page no.3 Lin no.5 write for instead of through - Page no.3 Lin no.5 write marking instead of markin - Page no.3 Lin no.5 write were instead of was - Page no.3 Lin no.5 write Whitman instead of whatman - Page no.3 Lin no.6 write filters instead of filter - Page no.3 Lin no.6 write was instead of were <p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Page no.7 Lin no.1 write from instead of of - Page no.7 Lin no.1 write leave instead of leaves - Page no.7 Lin no.1 write of instead of against - Page no.7 Lin no.3 write diameters instead of diameter - Page no.7 Lin no.3 write indicates instead of indicate - Page no.7 Lin no.5 write shows instead of show - Page no.7 Lin no.7 write diameters instead of diameter - Page no.7 Lin no.8 write supports instead of support - Page no.7 Lin no.9 write were instead of was - Page no.7 Lin no.10 write from instead of of - Page no.7 Lin no.12 write to satisfy instead of satisfying - Page no.7 Lin no.13 write has instead of have - Page no.7 Lin no.13 write in instead of with - Page no.7 Lin no.14 write is instead of are - Page no.7 Lin no.15 write from instead of of - Page no.7 Lin no.15 write leave was instead of leaves were - Page no.7 Lin no.15 write inform instead of informed - Page no.7 Lin no.16 write from instead of of - Page no.7 Lin no.17 write from instead of against - Page no.7 Lin no.18 write detectable instead of detectible - Page no.7 Lin no.20 write for instead of against - Page no.8 Lin no.1 write extracts instead of extract 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>Conclusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Page no.8 Lin no.1 write compound instead of compounds - Page no.8 Lin no.2 write to contain instead of contain - Page no.8 Lin no.4 write was instead of were - Page no.8 Lin no.4 write exhibiting instead of exhibit - Page no.8 Lin no.6 write from instead of of <p>References</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Dhayanithi, et al., (2012). This reference found in the references and not found in the text. - Vermani and Sanjay, 2002, Orhanp <i>et al.</i>, 2009 and Ahmad et al., This reference found in the text and not found in the references. 	
Optional/General comments	Accept As Is Minor Revision	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Esmat Anwar Abou Arab
Department, University & Country	Egypt