



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_51293
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE EFFECTS OF CONVENTIONAL AND NON-CONVENTIONAL FLOCCULANTS AND DISINFECTANTS ON MICROBIAL CONTAMINANTS IN WATER PURIFICATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>General Revision</p> <p>Each experimental has a citation, so what the originality of this paper is. Contents, especially Figures and Tables, are quite hard to read and comprehend, therefore the reviewer recommend to re-write all Figures and Tables.</p> <p>Microbiology rule is that first full spelling of name of bacteria such as Bacillus subtilis in italic and next is B. subtilis in italic.</p> <p>This paper is described as if it is review article, so re-write as an original research article. Discussion should describe based on your experimental results.</p> <p>Individual</p> <p>Shorten the introduction to two pages.</p> <p>Page 5 <i>Bacillus</i> to <i>B.</i></p> <p>Page 5 polyacry lamide to polyacrylamide</p> <p>Page 7 4gL to 4gL⁻¹</p> <p>Page 11 550 nm 30 minutes to 550 nm for 30 minutes</p> <p>Page 11 from the bottom 4 and 5 not clearly read</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Hideharu Shintani</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Chuo University, Japan</i>