SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_64310
Title of the Manuscript:	Animal production, resource engagement and sustainability of return
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

Abstract It has been written just like introduction. The significant findings and conclusion must be written in the beautiful in the beautiful in the beautiful in the beautiful in the discussion. Material and methods. Staticial analysis should be checked as suggested in text, apply post hoc analysis/ pair wise comparison for different age groups. Or pair wise tests use benfoini correction. Resuts and discussion What is difference between completly disagree and disagree.? What is the difference between partially disagree and partially agree? Write only salient points from the data in table. Donor write every detail which is already in table. The statements in table and text do not match . in test there mention of the year but not in table. Rather than writing full statements every time, it will be better to write the statements in material and methods and then code or number thom. Then the writing and understanding of results section will become easy. Tables 8-12 may be combined. Unfortunately the results have not been discussed. The results should be discussed in light of previous references. Also I have not checked the conclusions as there is no discussion. Minor REVISION comments		Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
It has been written just like introduction. The significant findings and conclusion must be written in abstract. introduction The objective of the work must be included in the introduction. Material and methods. Staticial analysis should be checked as suggested in text. apply post hoc analysis/ pair wise comparisons for different age groups. Or pair wise tests use benforini correction. Resuts and discussion What is difference between completly disagree and disagree.? What is the difference between completly disagree and partially agree? Write only salient points from the data in table. Donot write every detail which is already in table. The statements in table and text do not match . in test there mention of the year but not in table. Rather than writing full statements every time, it will be better to write the statements in material and methods and then code or number them. Then the writing and understanding of results section will become easy. Tables 8-12 may be combined. Unfortunately the reutts have not been discussed. The results should be discussed in light of previous references. I have not checked the references. Also i have not checked the conclusions as there is no discussion.	Compulsory REVISION comments		
Staticial analysis should be checked as suggested in text. apply post hoc analysis/ pair wise comparisons for different age groups. Or pair wise test use benforini correction. Resuts and discussion What is difference between completly disagree and disagree.? What is the difference between partially disagree and partially agree? Write only salient points from the data in table. Donot write every detail which is already in table. The statements in table and text do not match . in test there mention of the year but not in table. Rather than writing full staements every time, it will be better to write the statements in material and methods and then code or number them. Then the writing and understanding of results section will become easy. Tables 8-12 may be combined. Unfortunately the reults have not been discussed. The results should be discussed in light of previos references. I have not checked the references. Also i have not checked the conclusions as there is no discussion.		It has been written just like introduction. The significant findings and conclusion must be written in abstract. introduction	
comparisons for different age groups. Or pair wise tets use benforini correction. Resuts and discussion What is difference between completly disagree and disagree.? What is the difference between partially disagree and partially agree? Write only salient points from the data in table. Donot write every detail which is already in table. The statements in table and text do not match . in test there mention of the year but not in table. Rather than writing full staements every time, it will be better to write the statements in material and methods and then code or number them. Then the writing and understanding of results section will become easy. Tables 8-12 may be combined. Unfortunately the reults have not been discussed. The results should be discussed in light of previors references. I have not checked the references. Also i have not checked the conclusions as there is no discussion.		Material and methods.	
What is difference between completly disagree and disagree.? What is the difference between partially disagree and partially agree? Write only salient points from the data in table. Donot write every detail which is already in table. The statements in table and text do not match . in test there mention of the year but not in table. Rather than writing full staements every time, it will be better to write the statements in material and methods and then code or number them. Then the writing and understanding of results section will become easy. Tables 8-12 may be combined. Unfortunately the reults have not been discussed. The results should be discussed in light of previor references. I have not checked the references. Also i have not checked the conclusions as there is no discussion. Minor REVISION comments		Staticial analysis should be checked as suggested in text. apply post hoc analysis/ pair wise comparisons for different age groups. Or pair wise tets use benforini correction.	
What is the difference between partially disagree and partially agree? Write only salient points from the data in table. Donot write every detail which is already in table. The statements in table and text do not match . in test there mention of the year but not in table. Rather than writing full staements every time, it will be better to write the statements in material and methods and then code or number them. Then the writing and understanding of results section will become easy. Tables 8-12 may be combined. Unfortunately the reults have not been discussed. The results should be discussed in light of previors references. I have not checked the references. Also i have not checked the conclusions as there is no discussion.		Resuts and discussion	
Write only salient points from the data in table. Donot write every detail which is already in table. The statements in table and text do not match. in test there mention of the year but not in table. Rather than writing full staements every time, it will be better to write the statements in material and methods and then code or number them. Then the writing and understanding of results section will become easy. Tables 8-12 may be combined. Unfortunately the reults have not been discussed. The results should be discussed in light of previos references. I have not checked the references. Also i have not checked the conclusions as there is no discussion.		What is difference between completly disagree and disagree.?	
table. The statements in table and text do not match , in test there mention of the year but not in table. Rather than writing full staements every time, it will be better to write the statements in material and methods and then code or number them. Then the writing and understanding of results section will become easy. Tables 8-12 may be combined. Unfortunately the reults have not been discussed. The results should be discussed in light of previos references. I have not checked the references. Also i have not checked the conclusions as there is no discussion. Minor REVISION comments		What is the difference between partially disagree and partially agree?	
Rather than writing full staements every time, it will be better to write the statements in material and methods and then code or number them. Then the writing and understanding of results section will become easy. Tables 8-12 may be combined. Unfortunately the reults have not been discussed. The results should be discussed in light of previos references. I have not checked the references. Also i have not checked the conclusions as there is no discussion. Minor REVISION comments			
and methods and then code or number them. Then the writing and understanding of results section will become easy. Tables 8-12 may be combined. Unfortunately the reults have not been discussed. The results should be discussed in light of previor references. I have not checked the references. Also i have not checked the conclusions as there is no discussion. Minor REVISION comments		The statements in table and text do not match . in test there mention of the year but not in table.	
Unfortunately the reults have not been discussed. The results should be discussed in light of previos references. I have not checked the references. Also i have not checked the conclusions as there is no discussion. Minor REVISION comments		and methods and then code or number them. Then the writing and understanding of results	
previos references. I have not checked the references. Also i have not checked the conclusions as there is no discussion. Minor REVISION comments		Tables 8-12 may be combined.	
Minor REVISION comments discussion.			
	Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments			
Optional/General comments			
Optional/General comments			
	Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Syed Ashaq Hussain
Department, University & Country	Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology of Kashmir (SKUAST-K), India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)