



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_52122
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIFUNGAL AND PRESERVATIVE EFFECT OF DIFFERENT SPECIES OF AFRAMOMUM (K. SCHUM) ON FUNGI ISOLATED FROM RAW MEAT AND FISH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Dear editor, The author should give more details about the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Also they have to provide data about the origin of the plants (it is not enough "local market"). The manuscript has also a lot of grammar mistakes. That is why, the English written style should be revised by a English native speaker in order to remove grammar and spelling inaccuracies and to make the manuscript more formal.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bagiu Radu-Vasile
Department, University & Country	Victor Babeş University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania