



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJGH_56104
Title of the Manuscript:	Purtcher Like Retinopathy Complicating Acute Pancreatitis: Rare Case
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The case report "Purtcher Like Retinopathy Complicating Acute Pancreatitis: Rare Case" is well written and divided into sections and subsections. It is sufficiently illustrated with figures. The references cited relevant and adequate. In my opinion, this review paper can be recommended for publication after minor revision. It is recommended to include a list of abbreviations, used in the article. In section "Case Présentation" it is necessary to replace the word "eyeu" with the word "eye". It is recommended to add articles of the last three years to section "References"	
Minor REVISION comments	It is recommended to include a list of abbreviations, used in the article. In section "Case Présentation" it is necessary to replace the word "eyeu" with the word "eye". It is recommended to add articles of the last three years to section "References"	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Margarita A. Sazonova
Department, University & Country	laboratory of angiopathology of Institute of General Pathology and Pathophysiology, Russia