



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_62831
Title of the Manuscript:	Significance of early recognition of somatic symptoms of depression in general practice: A case report
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Kindly mention the procedure of collecting information in the abstract as well as in detail in the case report.</p> <p>Also mention the family history, demographic information, background, the occupational status of the case under review.</p> <p>What parameters were used to undertake the case study and what were the questions asked and analysed thereon.</p> <p>What were the significant findings of the somatic symptoms underlying depression, the nature and severity of the case and the suggested remedial measures undertaken need to be mentioned clearly under separate headings.</p> <p>Kindly refer to a proper format of writing a case study, as case study is an indepth study of a single case.</p> <p>The case study is too short and seems lacking in detailed content. Kindly add relevant information.</p> <p>The references need to be written in APA format and only those references are to be documented those which are cited in the main text.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nayanika Singh
Department, University & Country	Mahatma Gandhi State Institute of Public Administration (MGSIPA), India