



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_55900
Title of the Manuscript:	CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY OF HEPATITIS B SURFACE ANTIGEN (HBsAg) INFECTION AMONG ASYMPTOMATIC HOSPITAL ATTENDEES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The rationale for the work is not well shown. - The calculation of the sampling is not well clarified. - We do not find criteria for inclusion or not. - The presentation of the tables must be reviewed - The summary of the main facts is presented, but the possible limits of the study are not well presented, which leads to a somewhat misguided conclusion on awareness for vaccination of adults. So review the discussion. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The summary reports a relevant objective but the informative results could be further reinforced, such as the acute nature of the disease and the search for other markers (anti HBs Ab). - In the introduction too brief a description of the structure of the virus. We talked about a few routes of transmission, with risk factors that sometimes overlap. - The author tells us about the complications without addressing the symptoms. - In the discussion, we cannot follow the comparisons made, namely the populations of the study and those of the other studies, their condition of realization. - Always in the discussion the author talks about some risk factors which he did not mention in the results. - The references are not too recent, we are in 2020 and the most recent document dates from 2013. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Can't we see this title: VIRAL HEPATITIS B SCREENING IN ASYMPTOMATIC PATIENTS OF LIVER PATHOLOGY OF A HOSPITAL IN NIGERIA. - Was ethics approval obtained or not; since we are being asked to ask? 	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sevede Daouda
Department, University & Country	Institut Pasteur of Côte d'Ivoire, Côte d'Ivoire