SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_63917
Title of the Manuscript:	Weight Gain Reduction and Hypoglycemic Effects of Xylopia aethiopica Fruit Extract on Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		, and the second
Minor REVISION comments		
	1)The abstract lacks to include, as requested by the magazine's rules:	
	-Design of the study: mention here the design of the study.	
	-Location and duration of the study.	
	-The abstract should not exceed 250 words. In the present work, it should be reduced because it has 321.	
	2)In Table 3, it is worth reviewing the letters that present a difference in the kidney weight data. Apparently they are misidentified. (Table 3: Effect of <i>Xylopia aethiopica</i> fruit extract on Relative Organ Weight (%) of Animals after 28 days of Treatment (Kidney 0.65±0.10a 0.67±0.16b 0.71±0.07b 0.91±0.46a 0.77±0.11b)).	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fernando Fabrizzi
Department, University & Country	Brazil

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)