## Original Research Article

Effect of value added product from sugar industry on growth and yield attributes of maize (Zea mays L.)

Commented [WU1]: Does the Value Added Product refer "Vinasse"? If so, insert and re-write the title again as well as inset in all the document where spent wash is mentioned.

#### **ABSTRACT**

India is the major producer and consumer of sugar in the world. Molasses based distillery along with their product (alcohol) generate wastewater called as spent wash. The distillery spent wash can be utilized in agriculture as a source of potassic fertilizer. Consequently, a study was conducted Fto investigate compare the impact effect of applying Value Added Product (VAP) from distillery spent wash as a potassic source on maize hybrid COHM(8) (Zea mays L.) crop, growth and yield parameters were compared with that of maize grown on Muriate of Potash (MOP) as a fertilizer source using a Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications. For this study, the maize hybrid of COHM(8) was used and the field experiment was conducted at Agricultural College and Research Institute farm, Kudumiyanmalai, Pudukkottai, Tamil Nadu, India using Randomized Block Design with 3 replications. The treatments include absolute control (T1), 50% STCR-K through VAP (T2), 75% STCR-K through VAP (T3), 100% STCR-K through VAP (T4), 125% STCR-K through VAP (T5), 50% STCR-K through VAP + 50% STCR-K through inorganic fertilizers (T6) (MOP) and 100% STCR-K through inorganic fertilizer (MOP) (T7). As a result The results showed that, 125 % STCR-K as VAP produced better growth and yield characteristic, it was on par-similar with 100 percent STCR-K as VAP and followed by 75 percent STCR-K as VAP when compared to control (T1). It is concluded that application of VAP from distillery spent wash (DSW) resulted in increased nutrient status of soil which in turn increased higher growth and yield parameters of maize.

Keywords: Value added product, <u>dD</u>istillery spent wash, Molasses, Growth and yield attribute, Maize

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the important cereal crops next to wheat and rice in the world. In India, it ranks fourth position after rice, wheat and sorghum\_(Reference??). It accounts for

Commented [WU2]: What does STCR does mean? Are you meaning that STCR-K obtained from VAP when you say "STCR-K through VAP?

approximately 9 per cent of total food grain production in the country, contributing about 2 per cent of the world maize production. It has higher productive potential compared to any other cereal crops hence, it is called as "king of cereals" (Adiveppa et al., 2014). It is being cultivated in all the seasons viz., kharif, rabi and summer with a production and productivity of 27.71 MT, 3.07 t/- ha-1 during 2019 (FAO STAT, 2019). Maize genotypes respond to potassium application contrarily due to modification in its uptake, translocation, accumulation, growth and utilization. Potassium (K) is a macro element, # playsknown to play role in cell expansion and maintains turgidity. It helps in osmo--regulation of plant cell, assists in opening and closing of stomata, and more than 60 enzymes are activated by potassium. Potassium protects the plant from both biotic and abiotic stress like diseases, pests, drought, salinity, water logging, frost etc, (Hasanuzzaman et al., 2018). India is the major producer and consumer of sugar in the world. Molasses based distillery along with their product (alcohol) generate wastewater called spent wash. In general, the treated effluent contains the considerable amount of N and P, rich in K, Ca, Mg and S and the trace amount of Zn, Cu, Fe and Mn. However, the annual treated distillery effluent obtained in India can supply 16,800 tonnes of N, 6,300 tonnes of P and 1,26,000 tonnes K. So, the distillery effluent contains more amounts of organic and inorganic nutrients, this could be used as fertilizer for refining crop growth and soil fertility (Sukanya et al., 2002; Joshi et al., 2000). It is a well known fact that availability of organic manures is very much limited in the present day agriculture. Therefore, the application of nutrients needs to be increased to keep the soil fertile and to make agriculture sustainable. Now a days, nutrients are applied through inorganic fertilizers. Apart from fertility and productivity issues, use of chemical fertilizers is also becoming more and more difficult for the farmers due to their high costs and scarcity during peak season. On the other hand during recent years, sugar industries are producing large amount of waste products some of which are rich sources of macro, micro and secondary nutrients. The distillery spent wash can be utilized in agriculture for irrigation purpose, as fertilizer, a source of renewable energy and as manure. The resulting ash from distillery spent wash after incinerating is found to contain on an average of about 37 per cent of potash as potassium oxide. It can also be used as potassium salts. The use of this VAP as a K source with other nutrients helps to enhances the quality of crops, ensure sustainable productivity and nutritional security. While acting as a substitute for potassium fertilizer this will also increase the income of farmers in a long run and also it is a feasible option for safer disposal of the wastes. Holding this in mind, we this study set out to investigate the impact of applying VAP as a potassium source from distillery spent wash on various growth and yield parameters of maize (Zea mays L.) crop.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at Agricultural College and Research Institute, Kudumiyanmalai, Pudukkottai, Tamil Nadu, India in *kharif* season, 2020. It was laid out in randomized block design with seven treatments and three replications. The maize hybrid COHM (8) <a href="https://doi.org/10.1008/nation-united-nation

Commented [WU3]: Insert reference

Commented [WU4]: Insert reference

Commented [WU5]: Reference?

**Commented [WU6]:** Are you referring spent water as vinasse? Just check!

Commented [WU7]: Cite where did you get it.

Commented [WU8]:

Commented [WU9]: Citation?

Commented [WU10]: Can you mention studies? Or If you can please review on the works of Brazil on this area. Nothing has been reviewed about the literatures on the use of these value added products. Have you thoroughly seen the works elsewhere?

 $\textbf{Commented [WU11]:} \ \ \text{Make references on what you say!}$ 

Commented [WU12]: Reference

Commented [WU13]: References

Commented [WU14]: Cite references

**Commented [WU15]:** This was not reflected on the title that the study was aimed to investigate the effect of "potassium"

Commented [WU16]: Location should be inserted in bracket

VAP + 50% STCR-K through inorganic fertilizers (T6) and 100% STCR-K through inorganic fertilizer (MOP) (T7). The full dose of nitrogenous and phosphatic fertilizers were applied based on STCR through inorganic fertilizers as urea and SSP respectively to all the treatments except in control plot. Initial properties of the experimental soil was is presented in Table 1. The characteristics of VAP collected from the EID parry industry, Nellikuppam was is presented in Table 2. The characterization of distillery spentwash was also carried determined out by as described by saranya et al., (2019).

Table 1: Initial soil Physico-chemical properties of the experimental field

S.No.	Particulars	Values			
	Physical properties				
1	Bulk density (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )	1.33			
2	Particle density (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )	2.25			
3	Total porosity (%)	40			
5	Soil texture	Red Sandy Loam			
	Chemical properties				
1	pH	7.81			
2	EC (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	0.30			
3	Organic carbon (%)	0.51			
4	Available Nitrogen (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	235.2			
5	Available phosphorus (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	16.8			
6	Available potassium (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	153.44			
7	Exchangeable Calcium (c mol (p+) kg-1)	8.54			
8 4	Exchangeable Magnesium (c mol (p+) kg-1)	5.96			
9	Exchangeable Sodium (c mol (p+) kg-1)	3.92			
10	Exchangeable Potassium (c mol (p+) kg-1)	1.17			
	Biological properties				
1	Bacterial population (10 <sup>-6</sup> ) (CFU g <sup>-1</sup> soil)	15.21			
2	Fungal population (10 <sup>-4</sup> ) (CFU g <sup>-1</sup> soil)	5.35			
3	Actinomycetes population (10 <sup>-2</sup> ) (CFU g <sup>-1</sup> soil)	2.07			
4	Soil dehydrogenase (µg TPF g <sup>-1</sup> soil hr <sup>-1</sup> )	2.15			

**Commented [WU17]:** Properly define the abbreviations like VAP, STCR-K etc.

Commented [WU18]: Mention the type and rate in bracket

Commented [WU19]: Mention the type and rate in bracket.

5	Soil urease (μg NH <sub>4</sub> - N g <sup>-1</sup> of soil hr <sup>-1</sup> )	1.86		
6	Soil phosphatase (µg p-nitrophenol g <sup>-1</sup> of soil hr <sup>-1</sup> )	5.48		

Table 2: Characterization of Value Added Product (VAP)

S.No.	Particulars	Values						
	Physical properties							
1	Bulk density (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )	0.80						
2	Particle density (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )	1.05						
3	Total porosity (%)	24.00						
4	Moisture content (%)	9.85						
	Chemical properties							
1	рН	8.45						
2	EC (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	7.71						
3	Organic carbon (%)	15.39						
4	Total potassium (%)	11.32						

### Data collected

The growth parameters like plant height (cm) and leaf area index (LAI) were recorded at different growth stages. The crop was harvested when it attained maturity. The yield and yield parameters were reported after the maize cobs in the net plots were threshed to separate the grains. Yield parameters like cob length, cob girth, cob weight, number of rows per cob, number of grains per row, number of seeds per cob, and test weight were recorded. Stover yield was recorded after sun drying the harvested plants.

## Data analysis

What about the statistical procedures used?

The mean separation test employed?

## 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Effect of VAP application on different growth parameters of maize crop

#### 3.1.1 Plant height (cm)

The data pertaining to plant height as influenced by VAP from distillery industry are presented in Table 3. At 30<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> day, the maximum plant height was recorded in 125% STCR-K through VAP (134.0cm and 267.3cm) which was on par with 100% STCR-K as VAP (123.7cm and 240.4cm) followed by 75% STCR-K through VAP (118.0cm and 231.2cm). The lowest plant height (86.9cm and

Formatted: Font: Bold

**Commented [WU20]:** You did not mentioned the method employed for LAI measurement. If you used instruments, insert the name. In general, when you state about the parameter, it is vital to describe the method and instrument used.

**Commented [WU21]:** Vague! Mention the stages considered viz. 30 DAS, etc.

Commented [WU22]: You did not mention about the seed source, planting and precaution measures like chemical treated seed source or not. The other thing is nothing has been said about whether it is irrigated or rain fed. You did not elaborate how the measurement was conducted for yield parameters. I think it is good to insert them.

Commented [WU23]: You have said nothing about the K status of the soil in relation to soil K rating and I think the whole discussion relies on the effect of K on the growth and yield of Maize. I think it is better to describe the tabular value in relation to the soil you have used.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Commented [WU24]: After planting?

**Commented [WU25]:** It is jargon. Just use simple words like "similar to" or "same as"

147.3) was observed in control. At harvesting stage At harvest, the plant height ranged from 180.6 to 290.6 cm. Among the different\_treatments\_imposed, the addition of 125% STCR-K through VAP produced the maximum plant height of 290.6cm followed by 100% STCR-K through VAP (270.4cm) while, \_\_Tthe minimum plant height was registered recorded in the control treatment (180.6cm).

Table 3. Plant height (cm) as influenced by graded levels of VAP at various stages of maize crop

Treatments	Plant height (cm)				
Troumonto	30 <sup>th</sup> DAS	60 <sup>th</sup> DAS	90 <sup>th</sup> DAS		
T <sub>1</sub> - Absolute control	86.9	147.3	180.6		
T <sub>2</sub> - 50% STCR-K through VAP	107.5	200.2	230.2		
T <sub>3</sub> - 75% STCR-K through VAP	118.0	231.2	260.5		
T <sub>4</sub> - 100% STCR-K through VAP	127.0	240.4	270.4		
T <sub>5</sub> - 125% STCR-K through VAP	134.0	267.3	290.6		
T <sub>6</sub> - 50% STCR-K through VAP + 50%					
STCR-K through MOP	113.0	217.4	244.1		
T <sub>7</sub> - 100% STCR-K through MOP	117.7	215.6	245.6		
SEd	6.30	13.26	8.48		
CD(P=0.05)	13.74	28.89	18.49		

Mean of three replications

Commented [WU26]: In the footnote please insert the abbreviations viz., DAS, VAP, MOP

The declaration regarding the treatment differences need to be stated like "means difference higher than the CD are significantly different"




Fig 1. Plant height (cm) as influenced by graded levels of VAP at various stages of maize crop

#### 3.1.2 Leaf Area Index

The LAI at 30 DAS show significant difference due to the effect of VAP application from distillery spent washLAI was significantly affected by the VAP application at 30 DAS (Table 6). Significantly higher LAI was observed at 30 DAS in 125% STCR-K through VAP (1.906) which was on par withnot differed from the 100% STCR-K through VAP (1.795) followed by 75% STCR-K through VAP (1.732). Significantly The lowest LAI at 30 DAS (-0.901) was observed in control (T<sub>1</sub>). At 60 and 90 DAS higher LAI was observed in treatment receiving 125% STCR-K through VAP (T<sub>3</sub>) (4.133 and 5.246, respectively) on par with application of 100% STCR-K through VAP (T<sub>4</sub>) (3.902 and 5.112, respectively) and application of 75% STCR-K through VAP (T<sub>3</sub>) (3.867 and 4.945, respectively). Lowest LAI at 60 and 90 DAS (2..693 and 3.692, respectively) were observed in control (T<sub>1</sub>).

Table 4. Leaf area index (LAI) as influenced by graded levels of VAP at various stages of maize crop

Treatments	Leaf Area Index				
Troutinonio	30 <sup>th</sup> DAS	60 <sup>th</sup> DAS	90 <sup>th</sup> DAS		
T <sub>1</sub> - Absolute control	0.901	2.693	3.692		
T <sub>2</sub> - 50% STCR-K through VAP	1.466	3.590	4.537		
T <sub>3</sub> - 75% STCR-K through VAP	1.732	3.867	4.945		

Commented [WU27]: The graph requires refinement and lack attractiveness. Specially the color need to be visible when printed in black and white. Also describe the abbreviations used in the graph under the figure. Furthermore, what the error bars indicate was not shown

T <sub>4</sub> - 100% STCR-K through VAP	1.795	3.902	5.112	
T <sub>5</sub> - 125% STCR-K through VAP	1.906	4.133	5.246	
T <sub>6</sub> - 50% STCR-K through VAP + 50%				
STCR-K through MOP	1.627	3.553	4.626	
T <sub>7</sub> - 100% STCR-K through MOP	1.573	3.573	4.518	
SEd	0.06	0.12	0.20	
CD(P=0.05)	0.14	0.27	0.43	




Fig 2. Leaf area index (LAI) as influenced by graded levels of VAP at various stages of maize crop

The results revealed that all the growth parameters <u>considered</u> were <u>increased\_affected\_by</u> the application of 125% STCR-K as VAP which was on par with 100% STCR-K as VAP and 75% STCR-K as VAP. Potassium released from VAP has significant influence in growth parameters like plant height and LAI of maize when compared to potassium released from MOP. The increase in plant height with higher K doses might be attributed to the fact that higher potassium doses promoted plant growth, increased the number and length of the internodes due to more cell division and cell elongation which in turn resulted <u>in\_higher plant height</u>. The improvement in vegetative <u>stage\_growth</u> might be due to enhanced cell division, cell wall expansion, meristematic activity, photosynthetic efficiency and regulation of water intake into cells (Raju *et al.*, 2017). Amanullah *et al.*, (2016)

**Commented [WU28]:** Use the comments given for the above table.

Commented [WU29]: Insert all the abbreviations used in this graph. The graph requires refinements in aspects of "attractiveness", and this holds true for the previous graphs as well.

Commented [WU30]: Cite the reference

reported that maximum leaf area index was recorded for the plots treated with the highest K level. The findings are in accordance with (Swetha et al., 2017) and (Gnanasundari et al., 2018), who suggested that improvement in growth parameters with potassium application might be due to delayed leaf senescence, sustained leaf photosynthesis and better vegetative growth. This findings are in accordance with Kumar (2014), who suggested that potassium from effluent play a significant role in nutrient and sugar translocation in plants and maintains turgor pressure in plant cells. Doddamani et al. (2014) reported that, long-term spent wash application, improved the available status of N, P, K and micronutrients as evident by soil analysis. This facilitated better growth, dry matter accumulation and chlorophyll content of maize plants under spent wash compared to only fertilizer applied plots. These results corroborate agree with the findings of Suganya and Rajannan (2009), who also reported that the growth attributes of maize like plant height, leaf length, leaf breadth, number of leaves per plant and leaf area index were higher in distillery effluent irrigated treatments compared to control.

# 3.2 Effect of VAP application on different yield parameters and yield of maize crop 3.2.1 Cob length and Cob girth (cm)

The cob girth of maize ranged from 12.1 to 15.1 cm. However, the highest cob girth (15.1 cm) was recorded in the treatment received  $T_5$  (125% STCR-K through VAP) which was enparsimilar with and  $T_4$  (100% STCR-K through VAP) and  $T_3$  (75% STCR-K through VAP) by recording the cob girth of 14.8 and 14.5 cm respectively and lowest in Control ( $T_1$ ) (12.1cm).




Fig 3. Cob length and Cob girth as influenced by graded levels of VAP

3.2.2 Number of grains per cob, No. of grain rows per cob and No. of grains per row

Commented [WU31]: Are the soils upon which this study conducted and of that of Amanullah et al. (2016) the same. It is my opinion that the soil K status determine the response. Was the soil is poor in K where Amanullah et al. (2016) conducted?

**Commented [WU32]:** It is customarily named "effluent" or "vinasse". Just check it.

**Commented [WU33]:** Check the comments given on the previous graphs

The perusal of data on number of grains per cob indicated the significant difference was observed between the treatments Number of grains per cob, number of grain rows per cob, and number of grains per row showed significant difference among treatments (Fig.4). The highest number of grains per cob (467.2) was recorded in treatment received T<sub>5</sub> (125% STCR-K through VAP) and lowest number of grains per cob (278.2) was recorded in Control (T<sub>1</sub>)(Fig.4). The In number of grains per cob, number of grain rows per cob showed a significant difference between the treatments due to application of graded levels of VAP and inorganic nutrients. Aapplication of T<sub>5</sub> (125% STCR-K through VAP) recorded the highest number of grain rows per cob (15.1) and lowest number of grain rows per cob was recorded in Control (T<sub>1</sub>) (11.9)(Fig.4). The number of grains per row was differed significantly due to application of graded levels of VAP. The number of grains per row ranged from 23.2 to 30.9, and Tithe highest number of grains per row (30.9) was recorded in treatment T<sub>5</sub> which received (125% STCR-K through VAP and the lowest number of grains per row (23.2) was recorded in Control (T<sub>1</sub>) (Fig.4).




Fig 4. Number of grains per cob, No. of grain rows per cob and No. of grains per row as influenced by graded levels of VAP

## 3.2.3 Test weight and Cob weight

The weight of cob was significantly influenced by application of graded levels of VAP as well as different levels of inorganic nutrients. The result showed that application of 125% STCR-K through VAP exhibited higher cob weight (104.1 g) which in turn was not differed with T4 (100% STCR-K through VAP) and T3 (75% STCR-K through VAP) that recorded cob weight of 98.6 g and 95.8 g respectively while lower cob weight (58.3 g) was recorded in Control (T1). The data on test weight also showed significant difference between the treatments. The treatment received (125% STCR-K through VAP) recorded

Commented [WU34]: Please show the figures used.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Commented [WU35]:} Use comments given for the previous graphs. \end{tabular}$ 

Commented [WU36]: Insert figure number

Commented [WU37]: Insert Figure number

Commented [WU38]: Insert Figure number

the highest test weight of 26.5 g, however, it was similar which was on par with and T4 (100% STCR-K through VAP) and T3 (75% STCR-K through VAP) by recording the test weight of 26.1 g and 25.5 g respectively and the lowest test weight (19.5 g) was recorded in Control (T1).




Fig 5. Test weight& Cob weight of maize grains as influenced by graded levels of VAP

## 3.2.4 Grain yield and Stover yield of maize

The grain yield and Stover yield of maize was-were found to be influenced significantly by the application of value added product (VAP) along with inorganic fertilizer (Fig. 6). The maize grain yield ranged from 3011 to 6875 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Application of (125% STCR-K through VAP) recorded the highest grain yield of 6875 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and the lowest grain yield (3011 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in Control (T<sub>1</sub>) (Fig. 6).

The perusal of data on Stover yield showed that a significant difference between the treatments due to the application of graded levels of VAP. The highest Stover yield (8954 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in treatment which received (125% STCR-K through VAP) (T<sub>5</sub>) and lowest Stover yield (5029 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in Control (T<sub>1</sub>)(Fig.6).

**Commented [WU39]:** Use the comments given in the above figures.

**Commented [WU40]:** The figures need to be cited in the discussion. Otherwise it confuses the reader.

**Commented [WU41]:** I think this is redundancy. It has already been stated above.




Fig 6. Grain yield and Stover yield of maize as influenced by graded levels of VAP

Commented [WU42]: Use the comments given above. Some pictures do not have error bar and check it up.

Table5: Influenced by graded levels of VAP on yield attributes and yield of maize crop

Treatments	Cob length (cm)	Cob girth (cm)	No. of grains per cob	No. of grain rows per cob	No. of grains per grain row	Test weight (g)	Cob weight (g)	Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Stover yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub> - Absolute control	12.7	12.1	278.4	11.9	23.2	19.5	58.3	3011	5029
T <sub>2</sub> - 50% STCR-K through VAP	14.0	13.5	370.7	12.9	28.6	23.1	79.3	4777	7400
T <sub>3</sub> - 75% STCR-K through VAP	15.9	14.5	441.3	14.7	29.9	25.5	95.8	6272	8500
T <sub>4</sub> - 100% STCR-K through VAP	16.6	14.8	449.7	14.8	30.3	26.1	98.6	6537	8800
T <sub>5</sub> - 125% STCR-K through VAP	16.8	15.1	467.2	15.1	30.9	26.5	104.1	6875	8954
T <sub>6</sub> - 50% STCR-K through VAP + 50% STCR-K through MOP	15.6	13.9	415.2	13.9	29.7	24.3	89.1	5607	8233
T <sub>7</sub> - 100% STCR-K through	15.3	13.8	405.6	13.8	29.3	24.1	88.6	5448	8077
SEd	0.51	0.50	13.73	0.51	1.55	1.05	3.81	194.6	273
CD (p = 0.05)	1.11	1.09	29.92	1.13	3.37	2.30	8.31	423	596

Mean of three replications

**Commented [WU43]:** Insert as a footnote the abbreviations, and the CD (critical difference) as given above.

Being a plant origin, the value added product is rich in OC, and contains considerable levels of N, P and rich in K which were made available to the plants by mineralization thus, resulting in better growth, development and yield of the crop. This was possible due to the sustained supply of nutrients throughout the crop growth period by mineralization as indicated by higher microbial population and enzymatic activities in VAP applied plots than control and the plots received only Recommended Dose of Fertilizers. Doddamani et al. (2014) reported that, Spent spent wash when applied as a substitute for nitrogenous fertilizer acted as a good source of potassium for the crop. Spent wash treated maize plots showed higher uptake of potassium. Potassium uptake in turn resulted in higher yield of maize. Aslam et al. (2004) found that potassium increased the activity of enzymes involved in carbohydrate translocation and deposition from source to sink, resulting in heavier grains (Reference). Continuous filling of grains with sufficient photosynthates lead to increased size of cob and also resulted in increased cob girth (Reference). Higher cob diameter was also attributed to the supply of sufficient NPK nutrients essential for constituents of plant tissues involved in cell division and cell elongation (Gul et al., 2015). More nutrient availability resulting in higher leaf area index resulted in higher availability of assimilates thereby resulting in improved number of rows per cob (Gul et al., 2015). Mallika, 2001 reported that, the cob length, single cob weight, 100 grain weight and grain yield increased in maize due to the application of spent wash at 150 kL ha-1. Similarly, Sridharan (2007) concluded that one time land application of post methanated distillery spent wash at 60 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> increased the yield of rainfed maize. Ramana et al. (2002) reported that, highest grain yield of maize was linked with larger size of the cobs, higher number of seeds per cob by effluent application but it was not equivalent to the recommended dose of NPK + FYM due to imbalance supply of nutrients. Adiveppa et al. (2014) reported that application of distillery spent wash resulted in higher grain yield and stover yield. Due to its nutritional effects, improvement in physical and chemical properties of the soil lead to higher grain. Higher stover yield was due to higher soil available nitrogen. The results indicated that treatment receiving 150% N through distillery spent wash R.O. reject recorded significantly higher grain and stover yield than the plots receiving recommended dose of fertilizers only.

#### 4. Conclusion

The combined management of soil, crop, climate and use of resources was necessary to provide the favorable environment for crop growth which directly influenced the growth and yield attributes of the crop. The application of 125 percent STCR-K through VAP had a significant impact on the crop's growth and yield attributes. Although 125 percent STCR-K through VAP provided better yield characteristics than 100 percent STCR-K through VAP and 75 percent STCR-K through VAP, it was comparable to 100 percent STCR-K as VAP and 75 percent STCR-K through VAP. As a result, the increase in yield was significant up to 75 percent STCR-K through VAP, indicating that the plants received nutrients from native sources and used VAP for growth at this time, and that the amount of nutrients provided was sufficient to achieve higher yield. Now a day's nutrients are supplied only through inorganic fertilizers in imbalanced proportion. <a href="mailto:sentinuous continuous">sentinuous continuous</a> application of inorganic fertilizers leads to pollution problems, salinity of soil and water. So, it is concluded that application or

Commented [WU44]: It would be better to merge the result and discussion part together or discuss independently. However, it seems that you have discussed independently. It would be better to present the result first and then on a separate section discuss the discussion by giving it a title. In page 7 there is another discussion and In this page another. Please revise the structure of the paper. Make Result and Discussion independent or Merge them.

Commented [WU45]: References should be put.

utilization of VAP from distillery spent wash in crop production serves as a nutrient source to crop throughout the growth period, and also improves fertility status of the soil due to addition of organic matter and improve the biological properties of soil. Thus, the application of VAP will be a valuable substitute for inorganic fertilizers as well as safe option for disposal of the distillery industry waste.

#### References

- Amanullah IA, Irfanullah HZ. Potassium management for improving growth and grain yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) under moisture stress condition. Scientific Report. 6: 34627.
- Asangi AM, Srinivasamurthy CA, Bhaskar S. Variation in growth parameters of maize (Zea mays L.) at different growth stages due to onetime application of distillery spent wash ro reject. Indian Council Agricultural Research. 2018; 6:520-523.
- Aslam M, Hussain M, Nadeem MA, Haqqani AM. Comparative efficiency of different mungbean genotypes under Agroclimatic conditions of Bhakkar. Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences. 2004; 2(1):51-53.
- Doddamani MB, Gali SK, Patagar RG, Mukharib DS. Effect of long-term distillery spentwash application on crop growth, yield and soil potassium status in vertisols. In National symposium on potassium nutrient in enhancing yield and quality of crops 2011 Jan (pp. 17-18).
- 5. Gul S, Khan MH, Khanday BA, Nabi S. Effect of sowing methods and NPK levels on growth and yield of rainfed maize (*Zea mays* L.). Scientifica. 2015 May 18; 2015.
- Gnanasundari R, Sellamuthu KM, Malathi P. Effect of potassium on growth, yield and npk uptake of hybrid maize in black calcareous soil. Madras Agricultural Journal. 2019 Dec 20; 106(march (1-3)):1.
- Hasanuzzaman M, Bhuyan MH, Nahar K, Hossain M, Mahmud JA, Hossen M, Masud AA, Fujita M. Potassium: A vital regulator of plant responses and tolerance to abiotic stresses. Agronomy. 2018 Mar; 8(3):31.
- Mallika K. Eco friendly utilization of distillery spent wash for enhancing soil fertility and crop productivity. M. Sc (Env. Sci.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. 2001.
- Joshi HC, Pathak H, Chaudhary A, Joshi TP, Phogat VK, Kalra N. Changes in soil properties with distillery effluent irrigation. Journal of Environmental Research. 2000; 6(4):153-162.
- 10. Kumar V. Sugar mill effluent utilization in the cultivation of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in two seasons. Journal of waste management. 2014; 2014.
- 11. Raju, K., K. Vijay, K. Mukesh, S. Santhosh Kumar, and M. Alam. 2017. Effect of Bio-

- Methanated Distillery Effluent on Soil Physico-Chemical Properties, Cane Yield and Uptake of Nutrients in Calcareous Soil of Bihar. International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience. 5(5):386-393.
- 12. Ramana S, Biswas AK, Singh AB. Effect of distillery effluents on some physiological aspects in maize. Bioresource Technology. 2002 Sep 1; 84(3):295-307.
- 13. Saranya P, Gomadhi G, Ramesh PB. Effect of value added product from sugar and distillery industry on physico-chemical and biological properties of calcareous sodic soil. Indian Journal of Chemical Studies. 2019; 7(5):4485-90.
- 14. Sridharan B. Recycling of post methanated distillery spentwash in the soils of vasudevanallur for maize crop (Doctoral dissertation, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Coimbatore).
- 15. Sukanya TS, Meli SS, Patil RH. Effect of spentwash as irrigation water on performance of maize (Zea mays) and soil fertility. Indian journal of agricultural science. 2002; 72(12):736-8.
- Suganya K, Rajannan G. Effect of one time post-sown and pre-sown application of distillery spentwash on the growth and yield of maize crop. Botany Research International. 2009; 2(4):288-94.
- Swetha P, Solanki D, Kumari S, Savalia SG. Effect of potassium and sulphur levels on yield and yield attributes of popcorn (Zea mays Var. Everta). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applies Sciences. 2017; 6(8):646-655.