SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_66218
Title of the Manuscript:	SOIL MICROBES ARE SHAPED BY SOIL PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES: A BRIEF REVIEW OF EXISTING LITERATURE
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	The evaluated review portrays a very important issue for the area of soil science, in which	
	the population of microorganisms is essential for soil conservation, in addition to favoring	
	greater plant productivity. However, such a review needs to be improved in several aspects	
	such as:	
	1) Formatting: the text is outside the formatting rules.	
	2) The abstract needs to be improved and standardized according to the magazine's rules,	
	in addition to the absence of keywords right after the abstract.	
	3) Insert the objectives of the review at the end of the introduction.	
	4) The review is very general, indicating only the factors that influence negatively or	
	positively, the factors that correlate, that is, the information contained is very superficial.	
	Therefore, I suggest that the authors go a little deeper by presenting quantitative data,	
	whether in the body of the text and / or in figures, tables, etc.	
	5) The conclusions also need to be improved by relating them to the objectives.	
	6) Bibliographic references need to be checked and standardized.	
	obs: I made such suggestions in comments in the text itself.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Laís Graziele Silva
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)