A Comparative Study of Different Moisture Stress Tolerant Rice Varieties in Kalahandi District of Odisha

Abstract

Plant growth and productivity of rice is adversely affected by various biotic and abiotic stress factors. Water deficit is one of the major abiotic stresses, which adversely affects crop growth and yield. Plant growth and productivity of rice is adversely affected by various biotic and abiotic stress factors. Water deficit is one of the major abiotic stresses, which adversely affects crop growth and yield.

Plant growth and productivity of rice is adversely affected by

various biotic and abiotic stress factors. Water deficit is one of the major abiotic stresses, which adversely affects crop growth and yield. Plant growth and productivity of rice crop is adversely affected by various biotic and abiotic factors. Water deficit is the most critical abiotic factor affecting rice yield in drought prone areas. Majority of rice cultivated area in south Asia are is under rainfed, where water stress at any of the critical growth stages causes sharp decline in yield. The present study was carried out through front line demonstration under a 'National Innovation in Climate Resilient Agriculture' (NICRA) project during kharif seasons of 2018 and 2019 in two villages namely Pipalpada and Kinipadar of Kalahandi district of Odisha with an objective to evaluate the performances of short duration rice varieties viz. Swarna Shreya, Shahabgai dhan, DRR-42 and Naveen as compared to the farmers practice variety (MTU-1010). Swarna Shreya matured at-in_115 days, and recorded higher plant height (126.83 cm), effective tillers/hill (19.33), length of panicle (28.50 cm), number of panicle/m² (305.0), filled grains/panicle (128.72) and 1000 grain weight (25.51 g) than Shabhagi dhan andover other varieties. The This variety also same also produced the highest grain yield of 4.16 t ha⁻¹ with harvest index of (0.56) and total dry matter (of 538.10 /m²) and it is highest among the other varieties. The Swarn Shreya rice-produced higher net return of Rs. 41,975/ha with a benefit-cost ratio of 1.20 and additional net return of Rs. 9735 ha /ha as compared to farmers' practice variety. The growth and yield performance of Swarna Shreya was found to be satisfactory in spite of occurrence of frequent dry spells during different crop growth stages. Thus, the existing variety, MTU-1010, may be replaced by with Swarna Shreya for more productivity, income as well as more tolerant toduring moisture stress conditions.

Keywords: Rice, Moisture Stress tolerant varieties, NICRA, Kalahandi

Introduction

Rice crop is grown worldwide in varied ecosystems ranging from flood to drought conditions (Sheehy *et al.*, 2001) and consumed by 60 per_cent of the world population. However drought has been recognized as the primary constraint to rainfed rice production (Datta; *et al.*, 1975) and it is the most significant abiotic stress that affects rice productivity worldwide and is particularly more frequent in Southeast Asia (Pandey and Shukla, 2015). Nearly 23 million hectares of rainfed rice cultivating area In-in_South and Southeast Asia, is drought-prone and affecting more than 50% of rice yield (Rahman and Zhang, 2016). Due to the heterogeneity in the rainfed ecosystem, different types of traditional short duration rice landraces are cultivated by farmers.

The modern breeders are trying to obtain develop short duration rice varieties that could mature quickly early and are photoinsensitive to day length (Bagchi et al., 2012). Short

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [a1]: Check year

duration varieties can escape harsh dry spells and provide an opportunity to be harvested within a short period of time. It will enhance production through double cropping. In addition to that Hasan (2014) reported that growing short duration rice can mitigate methane emission, a greenhouse gas, that contributes to climate change. The traditional rice varieties take about 160-200 days (De Datta, 1981) to mature and are highly susceptible to climatic stress. Improved short duration varieties could be harvested in about 110 to 130 days. However, the cultivation of rice is dependent on monsoon rain fall in India. In some parts of India, the failure of the monsoon results in water shortage, resulting in below-average crop yield. This is particularly true of in major drought-prone regions such as southern Southern and eastern Eastern Maharashtra, northern Northern Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Orissa Odisha, Gujarat, and Rajasthan (Mall et al., 2006). Response to drought stress has been studied widely, and the model plant Arabidopsis has guided the studies on crop plants with genome sequence information viz., for other crops like rice, wheat, maize and sorghum. Since the value of functions of genes, dynamics of pathways and interaction of networks for drought tolerance in plants can only be judged by evidence from field performance (Shanker et al., 2014). Developing drought tolerant rice cultivars is considered to be one of the most effective and economic approaches to ensuring food security (Verma and Srivastava, 2004). A large portion about 70% of area under rice in India is drought prone rainfed, but it has not been exploited to full potential due to lack of suitable drought tolerant or resistant varieties (Kumar et al., 2012). There is hardly any scope to replace the rice crop considering the precipitation of less than 1500 mm rainfall during the monsoon season.

Keeping in view with this background and after detailed baseline survey an attempt was made with an objective to evaluate the growth and yield parameters of newly released short duration rice variety cv. *Swarna Shreya* at farmer²s² fields of NICRA project adopted villages namely Pipalpada and Kinipadar of Kalahandi district of Odisha.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments on short duration rice varieties were conducted at under NICRA project adopted villages vizi.e., Pipalpada (19.7079°N, 83.3652°E) and Kinipadar (20.1964°N, 83.5189°E) of Kalahandi district of Odisha during kkharif, 2018 and 2019. Fifteen numbers of farmers each having an average of g. 0.2 ha of land were selected for studying the evaluation of performance of short duration rice varities. Swarna Shreya, Shahabgai dhan, DRR-42 and Naveen as compared to the farmers practice variety, (MTU-1010) with recommended package of practices. Morphological and physiological studies were also

Comment [a2]: Reorient the sentence to link the sentences.

Comment [a3]: Add few sentences about the varieties selected foe the experiment,

Formatted: Font: Italic

conducted for the rice cultivars which are related to yield. The mean maximum and mean minimum temperatures registered in the villages during the year was 35.3°C and 16.4°C respectively. Total of 694.8 and 707.4 mm rainfall were received during 2018 and 2019, respectively—during the cropping period erratically—. The soil of the experimental site was neutral in reaction (pH 7.18), sandy loam texture with medium organic carbon content (0.51%), medium in nitrogen (294.3 kg/ha), low in phosphorus (31.6 kg/ha) and medium in potassium (26.7 kg/ha) contents. The performance—trials of said—varieties were replicated three times in a randomized block design. Observations on different growth and yield parameters were taken and economic analysis was done by calculating cost of cultivation, gross return, net return and B: C ratio. Final crop yield (grain and straw) were recorded and the gross return were calculated on the basis of prevailing market price of the produce. Harvest index is the relationship between economic yield and biological yield (Gardner et al., 1985) and was calculated by using the following formula

Harvest index (%) = Economic yield / Biological Yieldyield

The data were statistically analyzed applying the techniques of analysis of variance and the significance of different sources of variations were tested by error mean square of Fisher Snedecor's 'F' test at probability level 0.05 (Cochran and Cox, 1977).

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

RESULTS AND DISSCUSSION

The major differences were observed between demonstration package and farmer's practices with respect to recommended varieties, seed treatment, method of sowing, fertilizer dose, method of fertilizer application, weed management and plant protection measures (Table 1). The data showed that under the demonstrated plot only recommended varieties, seed treating culture, herbicide and plant protection chemicals of demonstrated plot were given to farmer and other practices were timely performed by the farmer itself himself under the supervision of Scientists of the Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Kalahandi.

Yield attributes

3

The short duration rice variety, Swarna Shreya, matured at-in_115 days. Plant height as recorded values indicated that highest value (128.63c.m) was _exhibited by ___Swarna Shreya_exhibited the highest value (128.63c.m), followed by Sahabhagi dhan (124.93 cm) whereas the lowest value of the same was shown by MTU-1010 (105.70 cm) as indicated in (Table 2). The differential response of tillering in the genotypes could be attributed to its genetic potentiality. These results are in agreement with previous investigators (Sarker et al., 2013; Samanta et al., 2015; Mishra, 2019). The total number of effective tillers as recorded indicated that higher number of effective tillers per hill was contributed by Swarna Shreya (19.33/hill) followed by Sahabhagi dhan (17.53/hill). The lowest number of tillers per hill was recorded from in MTU-1010 (10.63/hill). The differential response of tillering in the genotypes could be attributed to its genetic potentiality. These results are in agreement with Sarker et al. (2013) and Mishra (2019).

Variation in panicle length among the varieties under drought tolerance was presented in the Table-2, which The data revealed that maximum panicle length was exhibited by Swarna Shreya (28.50 cm) followed by Sahabhagi dhan (26.77 cm) where as minimum value of the same was shown recorded by MTU-1010 (18.53 cm). Significant difference among the genotypes was not exhibited. It was also noted that the panicle length is positively correlated with the yield. The differential response of genotypes to tillering in the genotype could be attributed to its genetic potentialitythis variation. These results are in agreement with Sarker et al., (2013) and Samanta et al. (2015).

The maximum number of panicles/m² was found in <u>Swarna Shreya</u> (28.50) followed by <u>Sahabhagi dhan</u> (26.77 cm) where as the lowest value of the same was observed in MTU-1010 (18.53). There was significant difference among the cultivars as regard to number of panicles/m² (Table 2). There was positive correlation with the grain yield. The differential response of tillering in the genotypes could be attributed to its genetic potentiality. These results are in agreement with previous researchers (<u>like</u> Sarker *et al.*, 2013, Samanta *et al.*, 2015, and Mishra 2019).

Among the cultivars the filled grains/panicle was highest in *Swarna Shreya* (128.72/panicle) which was significant greater than others varieties. On the contrary *MTU-1010* significant lower value (115.93/panicle) of the same than other genotypes. Significantly difference among the varieties as record to filled grains was noted. The

Comment [a4]: Verify with refrences

Comment [a5]: Reorganize the sentence, no meaning in this sentence.

differential response of tillering in the genotypes could be attributed to its genetic potentiality. These results are in agreement with Samanta *et al.* (2015) and Mishra (2019)

Comparison of 1000 seed weight among the genotypes indicated that highest value was recorded from in Swarna Shreya (25.51g) followed by Sahabhagi dhan (24.32 g) where as the minimum value of the same was exhibited by MTU-1010 (22.19 g). From the Table 3 itFurther, it is also observed that was found that there was this trait is having positive correlation with grain yield. This might be due to the production of higher number of effective tillers /plant and number of grains/ panicle. These results were in conformity with Tripathi et al. (2013).

Variation in grain yield was observed among the cultivars due to the effect of drought. The data presented in the Table 3 indicated that highest grain yield (4.16 t/ha) was recorded from in Swarna Shreya followed by Sahabhagi dhan (4.02 t/ha) whereas the lowest yield was recorded from MTU-1010 (3.52t/ha) which was significantly lower than other cultivars. This might be due to the production of higher number of effective tillers/ plant and number of grains /panicle. These results were in conformity with Tripathi at el. (2013). Comparison across the farmer's field indicated that the genotype, Swarna shreya, emerged asis highly tolerant for grain yieldto drought. However, tThe field data also indicated that considerable progress in yield under stress would be possible by selection selecting for earlierearly flowering and improved yield potential alone (Fussell et al., 1991).

Variation in Straw yield was observed among the cultivars due to the effect of drought. The data presented in the Table 3 indicated that highest Setraw yield (7.34 t/ha) was recorded from in Swarna Shreya followed by Sahabhagi dhan (7.21 t/ha) whereas the lowest yield was recorded from in MTU-1010 (6.78 t/ha) which was significantly lower than other cultivars. This might be due to the production of higher number of effective tillers/ plant and number of grains/panicle. These results were in conformity with Tripathi et al. (2013).

Variation in total dry matter/sq. meter (TDM-/-sq.m) was observed among the cultivars due to the effect of drought and the data is presented in the Table 2 which indicated that highest dry matter content per sq.m (538.1g) was recorded from in Swarna Shreya followed by Sahabhagi dhan (531.17g) whereas the lowest dry matter content per m² was recorded from in MTU-1010 (501.77g), which was significantly lower than other cultivars.

Formatted: Font: Italic

This might be due to the production of higher number of effective tillers /plant and number of grains/ panicle. These results were in conformity with Tripathi *et al.* (2013).

Data presented in Table 3 reflected that the Harvest Index Index (HI) of the tested cultivars under drought condition ranged from 051 to 0.56. This The highest value of Harvest index was shown in Swarna Shreya (0.56) followed by Sahabhagi dhan (0.55) whereas the lowest value of the same was shown noted in MTU-1010 (0.51). This might be due to the production of higher number of effective tillers/plant and number of grains/panicle. These results were in conformity with Tripathi et al. (2013).

An analysis on economics (Table 3) revealed that *Swarna Shreya* recorded higher net return of Rs. 41975/ ha with a benefit cost ratio of 1.20 and additional net return of Rs. 9735/ha as compared to farmers practice. Mitra *et al.* (2014) also reported the advantages of growing newly introduced variety over the traditional with higher returns, the variation deviation in net return and benefit-cost ratio may be attributed to the variation in the price of agri inputs and produce. These finding are also similar with the findings of Nirmala *et al.* (2012).

Table 1. Comparison of improved demonstration package against the existing farmer practices

Sl. No.	Particulars	Existing farmer practices	Improved Practices on
			Demonstration
1.	Variety	MTU-1010	Swarna Shreya,
			Sahabhagi dhan
			DRR-42
			Naveen
2.	Time of Sowing	2 nd week of June	2 nd week of June
3.	Method of Sowing	Broadcasting	Line transplanting
4.	Seed rate	50 kg	50 kg
5.	Seed treatment	No seed treatment	Seed treatment with
			Carbendazime+Mencozeb
6.	Fertilizer dose	Imbalanced use of	80:40:40 NPK/ha
		fertilizer	
7.	Weed management	No use of herbicide	Hand weeding & use of
			herbicide
8.	Plant Protection	Injudicious use of plant	Need based plant protection
		protection chemicals	measures

Table 2. Growth and yield attributing traits of various moisture stress tolerant rice varieties demonstrated in Kalahandi district of odisha

Treatments	Plant Height	No. of effective tillers	No. of panicles	Panicle length	Filled Grains
	(cm)	/hill	/ sq.m	(cm)	/Panicle
Swarna Shreya	128.63	19.33	305.00	28.50	128.72
Sahabhagi dhan	124.93	17.53	291.00	26.77	124.30
DRR-42	120.20	14.73	275.00	22.17	120.26
Naveen	117.93	11.80	252.67	20.97	118.81
MTU-1010 (FP)	105.70	10.63	233.33	18.53	115.93
Mean	119.48	14.81	271.40	23.39	121.60
SE(m) <u>+</u>	0.10	0.09	0.41	0.18	0.24
CD(0.05)	0.32	0.28	1.35	0.60	0.79
CV(%)	0.14	1.01	0.26	1.36	0.35

FP – Farmers' practice *i.e.* Control

 Table 3. Yield of various moisture stress tolerant rice varieties demonstrated in Kalahandi district of Odisha

Treatments	Yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Straw Yield(t ha ⁻¹)	1000 grain weight	TDM m ⁻² (g)	HI	Net Return	B:C ratio
Swarna Shreya	4.16	7.34	25.51	538.10	0.56	41975.00	1.20
Sahabhagi Dhan	4.02	7.21	24.32	531.17	0.55	39395.00	1.11
DRR-42	3.89	7.14	23.72	519.97	0.54	36878.33	1.16
Naveen	3.73	6.94	23.45	512.57	0.53	34076.67	1.02
MTU-1010 (FP)	3.52	6.78	22.19	501.77	0.51	32240.00	1.01
Mean	3.86	7.08	23.84	520.71	0.54	36913.00	1.01
SE(m) <u>+</u>	0.06	0.08	0.11	0.24	0.00	63.99	0.06
CD(0.05)	0.18	0.26	0.37	0.78	0.02	208.65	0.18
CV(%)	2.50	1.95	0.82	0.08	1.56	0.30	8.86

FP – Farmers' practice *i.e.* Control

CONCLUSION

This study revealed that drought tolerant variety *Swarna shreya* produced higher yield with more tillering capacity and resistance to drought. Overall, the performance of this FLD results suggested that it has the potential for increase knowledge of the farmer as well as showed high level at satisfaction *MTU-1010* may be replaced with moisture stress tolerant variety *Swarna shreya* because of higher productivity, income and drought tolerant capacity. Drought tolerant variety *Swarna shreya* was found to be suitable since it fits well to the existing farming situation and also it had been appreciated by the farmers.

REFERENCES

- Bagchi, B.D., Bardhan, R., Jaim, W.H. and Hossain, M. 2012. Diversity, Spatial distribution and the process of adoption of improved rice varieties in West Bengal. Page 161-214.
- 2. Cochran, W.G. and Cox, G.M. 1977. Experimental Designs. Asia Publishing House, Kolkata. 95-132 and 142-181.
- 3. Datta, D.S.K., Chang, T.T. and Yoshida, S. 1975. Drought tolerance in upland rice. Major Res. Upland Rice. IIRI, Los Bonos, Languna, Philippines. pp. 101.
- 4. De Datta, S.K.1981. Principles and practices of Rice production. A Wiley-Interscience publications, United States of America. 640p Food and Agriculture Organization. 2014. A regional rice strategy for sustainable food security in Asia and the Pacific. Final edition. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific. Bangkok, Thailand. 38p.
- 5. Fussell, L.K., Bidinger, F.R. and Bieler, P. 1991. Crop physiology and breeding for drought tolerance: research and development. *Field Crops Research*. 27 (3): 183-199.
- Gardner, F.P., Pearce, R.B. and Mistecell, R.I. 1985. Physiology of Crop Plants. Iowa State University. Press, Iowa. 66.
- Hasan, E. 2014. Proposing mitigation strategies for reducing the impact of rice cultivation on climate change in Egypt.National Water Research Centre. Egypt. Science Direct. Water science Science 27: 69-77

- 8. Kumar, S., Singh, P.K., Verma, G.P., Singh, K., Chaudhary, R.K. and Kumar, M. 2012. Interrelationships for yield and component traits in rainfed upland rice. *Oryza* 49(1):57-59.
- Mall, R.K., Gupta, A., Singh, R., Singh, R. S. and Rathore, L. S. 2006. Water resources and climate change: An Indian perspective. *Current science Science* 90(12):1610-1626.
- 10. Mishra, K. 2019. Evaluation of short duration drought tolerant upland rice variety sahabhagidhan in ganjam district of odisha. *I.J.A.E.B.* 4(6):2456-8643.
- 11. Mitra, B., Mukherjee, S. and Biswas, S. 2014. Promotion of short duration rice variety Gotra Bidhan-1(IET 17430) through front line demonstrations in terai region of West Bengal. *Journal of Crop and Weed* 10(1):111–114.
- Nirmala, B., Vasudev, N., Suhasini, K., 2012. A comparison of economic potential of HYVs Hybrid rice cultivation in Ambedkarnagar district of Uttar pradesh. World Journal of Agronomy 1(1):07-10.
- 13. Pandey, V. and Shukla, A. 2015. 15Acclimation and tolerance strategies of rice under drought stress. *Rice Sci.* 22(4):147–161.
- 14. Rahman, A.N.M.R.B. and Zhang, J. 2016. Flood and drought tolerance in rice: opposite but may coexist. *Food Energy Security* 5(2):76–88.
- 15. Samant, T. K., Mohanty, B., Dhir, B. C. 2015. On farm assessment of short duration rice variety Sahabhagidhan. *I.J.O.E.A.R.* 1(3):1-4.
- 16. Sarker, C.B., Zahan, M., Majumdar, U.K., Islam, M.A. and Roy, B. 2013. Growth and yield potential of some local and high yielding boro rice cultivars. *Journal of agroforestry Agroforestry and environment Environment* 7(1):107–110.
- 17. Shanker A. K., Maheswari M., Yadav S. K., Desai S., Bhanu D. and Attal, N. B. 2014. Drought stress responses in crops. *Funct. Integr. Genomics* 14:11–22.
- 18. Sheehy, J.E., Mitchell, P.L., Hardy, B. 2000b2000-. Redesigning Rice Photosynthesis to Improve Yield. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Elsevier. (Proceedings of a Workshop the Quest to Reduce Hunger: Redesigning Rice Photosynthesis, 30 November–3 December 1999, at the International Rice Research Institute).
- 19. Tripathi, K., Pandey, J. and Saxsena, A. 2013. Performance of local, improved and hybrid rice varieties in district Rewa, (M.P), India. *International Journal of Pharmacy & life Science* 4(12):3205–3208.

Comment [a6]: Format

Comment [a7]: List as per the journal format

20. Verma, O.P. and Srivastava, H.K. 2004. Productive association of quantitative traits in diverse ecotypes of rice (Oryza sativa L). *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture* (USA). 25(2):75-91