



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_57662
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Study of Different Moisture Stress Tolerant Rice Varieties in Kalahandi District of Odisha
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The research article is well fit under the scope of the journal. However a number of corrections are needed during revision of the article. Most of the needed corrections were mentioned in the text. The author should also consider the following points during revision of the article:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The word 'adversely' has been repeated many times in the abstract. Suitable word may be used in the repeated words. • Varieties of the rice written in <i>italic</i>. Is it necessary? • Follow the style of manuscript of the journal • Follow the citation of references in text as per the style of the journal (numbering) • Mention detail about the software used for data. Now a days no one use the calculator for analysis the data. The mentioning the software is important. • Table format is not as per the style of the journal 	
Optional/General comments	<p>The research article is well fit under the scope of the journal. However a number of corrections are needed during revision of the article. Most of the needed corrections were mentioned in the text.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bidhan Roy
Department, University & Country	Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, India