

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_76598
Title of the Manuscript:	PRODUCTION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF PAPER BOARD MILL ETP SLUDGE DERIVED HYDROCHAR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Authors mentioned that they prepared hydrochar by hydrothermal carbonization (HTC) method. It is an important material which is a low cost adsorbent, toxic metals bind to oxygen functional groups on carbon surfaces.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>I. Abstract is not concise with proper format</li><li>II. Manuscript writing style is not acceptable</li><li>III. Nothing new innovation in this manuscript</li><li>IV. Fig2 shows pH vs pH, what does it mean?</li><li>V. SEM image showed that hydrochar had a rougher surface, it should be less than 10 micron scale for better resolution</li><li>VI. Authors should provide few applications of prepared hydrochar and its performance</li><li>VII. Application and its performance of this prepared hydrochar may be explore elaborately for complete study.</li><li>VIII. Conclusion part has no such good concluding information</li><li>IX. Reference are not in a proper format</li></ol> <p>Overall, this is not a full research article. More elaborate work on preparation and its application of hydrochar related extensive experimental work must be consider after major work.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Asok Kumar Dikshit</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>