



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_57816
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate Change Responses of Cocoa Farmers in Ghana
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>I believe that the manuscript can help producers and researchers related to culture. With options for adaptations that can be made through climate change. However, as it is a scientific text, I believe that some points must be improved.</p> <p>Example 1: the first reference contains data from the IPCC, there is already more current data.</p> <p>Example 2: there is little discussion as to how adaptations can favor plant physiology and be a good option. When mentioning the different cultures that are used as a consortium. Interaction mechanisms, shading, temperature reduction could be discussed.</p> <p>Example 3: when he talks about pruning, he can explore its effect on the source-collector relationship. How to favor the distribution of assimilates</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Believe that the references are old, especially in the introduction. Can update by citing latest resarches</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Genaina Aparecida De Souza
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal De Viçosa, Brazil