



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_55701
Title of the Manuscript:	Physiological basis of memory dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease – an overview
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p style="text-align: center;">Overall Article is <b>Excellent</b></p> <p>This Article titled Physiological <b>basis of memory dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease – an overview</b> is well structured and correctly, presented. This Article is perfectly written and very well documented. There are no typing errors and the text is well written in clear and concise manner. The schemes, and tables are shown properly as well. The hypothesis and arguments are well formulated with meritorious conclusions based on valuable and actual literature. The conclusions confirm that the formed objective of the work was successfully finished. Thus, the researcher has gone from the statement of the problem to the recommendation for the solution of the problem, the complete cycle of a well-organized Article.</p> <p><b>However, they are some remarks which occurred to me and need to be explained in detail.</b></p> <p>In my opinion, for better understanding of the presented results and clarity of work performed through various Charts and tables and the reference sample should be introduced. To sum up, the article represents high level scientific work. It seems to be an interesting topic for all people All experiments are well arranged and measurements techniques and methods are correctly applied. It is generally well presented and very interesting to read. The explanations are suitable and focused on the relevant topics. It is noteworthy that the wide spectrum of work executed new research ideas.</p> <p>Finally, this article is graded as <b>Excellent</b>.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>SrinivasaRao Kasisomayajula</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Madanapalle Institute of Technology and Science, JNTU Ananthapur, India</b>