#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_52167
Title of the Manuscript:	
	Ethnopharmacological survey on the consumption of the Association of Medicinal Plants-Koutoukou in the city of Abidjan (Ivory Coast)
Type of the Article	

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

# **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Having gone through the manuscript, in conformity with SDI and IJBCRR requirements and desire to present a relevant and useful information to the public domains, I therefore wish to present the following comments:	
<u>Abstract</u>	It is Ok	
Introduction	<ol> <li>Line 29: Place the in text citation [2] at the end of the sentence</li> <li>Line 34: Join the definition of Koutoukou to the next paragraph.</li> <li>Line 38: Remove the dates: 2002, 2015, 2014 and 2009 in the in text citation. Only names are required. Then the [5, 6, 7, 8] should be placed at the end of the sentence.</li> <li>Line 42 – 46: "This study proposes to"! Are you presenting proposal or an already completed research? Recast.</li> </ol>	
Materials and Methods	1. Line 49: check spelling of "Material" 2. Line 61 Why use 2-2- instead of 2.2.? 3. Line 64, 74, 79 and 80: same as above	
Results and Discussion	<ol> <li>Why use Roman numeral for Table 2?</li> <li>Line 122 – 129: Should be part of introduction</li> <li>Line 129 – 177: The author spent time writing what should have been presented under introduction. He fails to make reference to the results of his works as presented in Figure 2, Table 1 and 2.</li> <li>Note under results and Discussion section, you are expected to present your results, Highlight the observations and interpret them statistically, show the implication or relevance of each result, compare and contrast with previous studies and establish a position on what new information your work has brought to the table of knowledge. You have not achieved this in your results and discussion section.</li> </ol>	
Conclusion	Recast, reflecting the objectives achieved at the end of study.	
References	References are Ok.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The content of this manuscript is shallow. It also has poor scientific inclination.	

# PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Udourioh, Godwin Augustine
Department, University & Country	Veritas University Abuja, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)