

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_51549
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Screening, Elemental and Proximate Analysis of Maerua angolensis (Capparaceaea) Stem Bark
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The ABSTRACT is not written to the style of the journal.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The TABLES and FIGURES are useful and well prepared.	
	The authors do a good job on INTRODUCTION and DISCUSSION.	
	The references are supportive of the topic.	
	After revision above the paper can be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with
		that part in the manuscript. It is m
		feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ronald Bartzatt
Department, University & Country	University of Nebraska, USA

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her