



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_57528
Title of the Manuscript:	Sickle Cell Disease - A Comprehensive Study and Usage of Technology for Diagnosis
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Rephrase certain viable sentences and try to coordinate between the survey made. Conclude with which preventive technique is best as far as the survey is concerned. There are some repetitive sentences. Remove them and specify at one place where it is appropriately required.	
Minor REVISION comments	The quality of Figures could be augmented. Sections and subsections are not numbered clearly. Please check.	
Optional/General comments	Check and adhere to alignment protocols as necessitated by the journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M.Anisha
Department, University & Country	India