



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_53461
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE AND DETERMINANTS OF BLOOD DONATION AMONG STUDENTS OF TERTIARY INSTITUTIONS IN IMO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Methodology: Not place references in this sector, I mean the numbers: 26, 15 and 24. Make the comment with the reference number in introduction.</p> <p>Results: Throughout this sector: Tables are too long. Must to find a way to summarize to make reading and comprehension easier. Complete the explanatory text with the number of the table to which it refers.</p> <p>References: Missing data in references number: 3 and 5.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alicia Noemí Kohli Bordino
Department, University & Country	Italian University Institute of Rosario, Argentina