#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_52299
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Affecting ferritin level in children of 6 to 59 Months in the Eastern region of Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### PART 1: Review Comments

Topic, content and concepts are good, but few sections need to be corrected.	
ropic, content and concepts are good, but lew sections need to be confected.	
1. <i>Reference</i> section needs to rewrite with thorough corrections as per the	
journal guidelines and should be uniform.	
2. <i>Results</i> and <i>Discussion</i> must not go together. There should be a separate	
Discussion section.	
3. <i>Tables</i> should either go at last or remove the heading ("4-Tables").	
4. Avoid unnecessary splitting of the paragraphs.	
5. Capitalization of words should be uniform and needs to be corrected.	
6. <i>Consent</i> section should have a complete sentence.	
7. Many grammatical errors need to be corrected.	
	<ol> <li>Results and Discussion must not go together. There should be a separate Discussion section.</li> <li>Tables should either go at last or remove the heading ("4-Tables").</li> <li>Avoid unnecessary splitting of the paragraphs.</li> <li>Capitalization of words should be uniform and needs to be corrected.</li> <li>Consent section should have a complete sentence.</li> </ol>

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
As per the quideline of editorial office we have followed VANCC	NIVER reference style for our paper	·

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

### ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her





# SDI Review Form 1.6

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Kallol Kumar Bhattacharyya
Department, University & Country	University of South Florida, U.S.A.