SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_51921
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico-chemical Properties Comparison Between Released Varieties and Local Germplasm of Sapota (<i>Manilkara Zapota</i>)
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

	PART 2:				
FINAL	EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments			
any)					
Major of 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	The grammar (English) of the manuscript needs substantial improvement. The manuscript seems more like a laboratory report compared to a journal article. The results and discussion section need to be improved and the results obtained in this study compared to other previous studies on Sapota. A correlation analysis should be carried out to determine how the measured parameters influence each other. The data presented as appendix can be omitted from the manuscript. It is not enough to state that a significant difference in a parameter was observed between the different varieties of Sapota, an explanation should be given for why this difference.				
Other o	comments:				
2.	In the abstract, symbols like G3 and G2 were used although these symbols have not been defined Line 20 needs revising: 'Based on the most of all physicochemical properties G_3 was better than the other germplasm/varities. G_1 , G_2 , V_9 and V_{10} are also a good source of important compositions than the others' Should read Comparing the different varieties studied, G_1 , G_2 , V_9 and V_{10} can served as good sources of phytochemicals.t compositions than the others Line 31 needs revising: From late October to late November it is usually available in our country. Should read In Bangladesh The fruits are usually available from late October to late November				

Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012) Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO

SCIENCEDOMAIN international





SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

4.	Lines 32-33 need revising
5.	Line 34: 'in it' should be deleted
6.	Lines 41-42 needs revision
7.	Line 63: 'so' should be deleted
8.	Line 79: 'taken' should be replaced with
	measure
9.	Line 80: 'sensitive to 10 g' should be deleted

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jerry Ampofo-Asiama
Department, University & Country	University of Cape Coast, Ghana

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)