

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_72428
Title of the Manuscript:	Hydroethanolic extract of Adenia lobata (Passifloraceae) relieves painful stimulus and subsequent anxiety-like behavior in Mice: both anti-inflammation and antioxidant approaches
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title should contain words like 'the effect of...', and also if possible the type and place of study. Abstract and key words good.</p> <p>In introduction, the incidence of pain should be mentioned; why this study needs will be clear. Sample size, no. of animals in each group, approval from the institutional ethical committee to be mentioned. Duration of the study to be mentioned. In the tables, along with rows, proper columns to be made also so that they are not jumbled up. The result should be separated from the discussion section. In the discussion section, result from other studies should be compared. Conclusion is correct.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Small grammatical errors and typo errors in material method section to be corrected. Conflict of interest, sponsorship if any or not, acknowledgement if any to be mentioned.</p> <p>Consent not required from whom?</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Overall a good and vast studies. After these small corrections, it will become even more better.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Priyanki Mishra
Department, University & Country	Kolhan University, India