### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_64892
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and Characterization of Two New Polyphenols from the Anti-diabetic Fraction of Pod Extract of Caesalpinia pulcherrima Swartz
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
	This research about anti- diabetic activity of crude extracts and fractions of	
	Caesalpinia pulcherrima is very interested and of high value. Only there are 3 small	
	points which I want from the authors to consider:-	
	First point, in coation 2.2 table 2: the cuthous montioned that the parameters	
	First point: In section 3.2, table 3; the authors mentioned that the percentage reduction of EtOAC fraction after 4 hrs. is 52.92%, while it is mentioned in the	
	second paragraph of the same section that it is 52.2%, so the authors must check	
	these percentages.	
	Second point: I prefer that the authors should add the family name (Fabaceae) with	
	the Latin name (scientific name) of the plant either in the main title or in the abstract.	
	Third point: It is also found that the DCM fraction (in table 3) its percentage	
	reduction after 4 hrs. is quite similar to the percentage reduction of glibenclamide	
	group after 4 hrs. (37.22% & 38.97% respectively), so it is expected that DCM fraction	
	may also contain active compound(s) either different or similar to the active	
	compounds present in EtOAC fraction; therefore, I prefer from the authors to	
	mention this point, and also can recommend that in their future work the DCM	
	fraction may also be considered and compared with EtOAC fraction.	
Minor REVISION comments		
0		
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Mohamed Mirghani Mohamed Ahmed Hassan	-
Department, University & Country	International University of Africa, Sudan	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)