

SDI Review Form 1.6

Le se el Marce e	
Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_56670
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL AND ANTI-EPILEPTIC STUDIES OF BOSWELLIA DALZIELII (FRANKINCENSE TREE) ETHANOL STEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agree highlight that part in the man his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 Authors should report in past tenses, since the research is a complete action. The beginning sentence in the methodology of the Abstract should be rephrased sentence to read, "The stem bark of the plant was extracted with absolute ethanol and screened for phytochemicals". In the introduction part of the article, Authors should rewrite the ethno medicinal importance of the plant with punctuation marks rightly placed. In the acute toxicity study results, Authors should remove the column of mice and weight. It is not necessary Results on the Effect of ethanol extract of <i>B. dalzielii</i> on pentylenetetrazole induced seizure in mice were not clearly explained. Authors should explain results as presented in Table 4. 	
Minor REVISION comments	 Title can be rephrased to read, "Phytochemical and Anti-Epileptic studies of Ethanol extract of Boswellia Dalzielii (Frankincense tree) stem bark". Phytochemical screening is not clear. Authors should state only the phytochemical and the indication (presence/absence). It is not necessary to state particular test. 	
Optional/General comments	Good original research work. However, grammar and spelling checks will be necessary.	

M BARK EXTRACT

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write





PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed w that part in the manuscript. It is r feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uzuazokaro M. Agatemor
Department, University & Country	Novena University, Nigeria

l with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her