#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_54767
Title of the Manuscript:	Anticancer activity of Silver Nanoparticle by using Cassia auriculata
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol> <li>The abstract should be improved by results</li> <li>FT-IR image not present in figures?</li> <li>UV-Scanning should be done</li> <li>DLS –if possible done</li> <li>The following reference has relation with this results should added</li> <li>a. Synthesis and characterization of gold nanoparticles and their anticancer activity using gamma radiation</li> <li>b. Incorporation of Silver Nanoparticles with Natural Polymers Using Biotechnological and Gamma Irradiation Processes</li> <li>c. Antimicrobial, antioxidant and anticancer activities of zinc nanoparticles prepared by natural polysaccharides and gamma radiation</li> <li>d. Fabrication and characterization of cobalt hyaluronic acid nanostructure via gamma irradiation for improving biomedical applications</li> <li>e. Gamma irradiation induces silver nanoparticles synthesis by Monascus purpureus</li> </ol>	
Optional/General comments		

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



### SDI Review Form 1.6

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with that part in the manuscript. It is n feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Farag M. Abo Mosalam
Department, University & Country	Egypt

# l with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her