



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_61279
Title of the Manuscript:	Production and Consumer Acceptability of Eco-Friendly Household Cleaning Products from Locally Available Materials
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The line spacing is not unifying. The author used insufficient references (11 references only). In addition, many paragraphs need their references. The references in the references' list needs to by unify. I think that the conclusion needs some results. Many sentences have been unclear or incomprehensible, such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The researcher can conclude that because the calculated significant value of .861 was lower than the critical r-value of 1.68 at 0.05 level of significance that the mean rating of working mothers and housekeepers are not likely to change (it is too long sentence). The study was carried out using an experimental research design and survey. <p>Therefore, please review the article carefully to clarify many of these sentences.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Some spells errors which are corrected in the attached file. What's the meaning of (litre gallon)? 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdel Khalek
Department, University & Country	Central Metallurgical Research Institute (CMRDI), Egypt