SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_59394
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Water Quality Parameters of Odor River, Anambra State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
Compulsory REVISION comments		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION Comments		
	The WHO standards presented in Table 2 shows mistakes about the value of nitrate, Feacal coliform and Total Coliform The results presented in the manuscript is not well discussed, compared to others findings The references of the analytical methods were not presented in the Table 1 Eg. pH meter is not the method but the instrument to measure pH. The method is potentiometric - The collected data is environmental data, it well known that before using PCA, CA, or CA method (not the tools as you mentioned in your paper), you must do log transformation before using them for multivariable analysis. In your manuscript it is not showed.	
Minor REVISION comments		
	The sampling site and the study area map were not present in the paper. Many mistakes, please read carfully the hole paper	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adandedji Mahoutin Firmin
Department, University & Country	University of Abomey Calavi, Benin

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)