



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_56703
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Evaluation of Low Cost Drip Filter
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The goal of the described research was to develop low cost filters for irrigation purposes and to test for efficiency in terms of pressure drop throughout discharge and quality of output. The overall idea and results are very actual and useful over the drought word.	
Minor REVISION comments	A few comments: There are some formal mistakes – missing gaps between words (e.g. in abstract m ³ /h.As; of0.95; wateris)	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Materials & methods - suitability of the used methods are appropriate. Sufficient details is provided. I like the pictures, graphs for better understanding. • Results & discussion <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data are well controlled 2. Author provided relevant and current references. 3. Discussion is based on actual facts 4. Conclusion is supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zuzana Vranayova
Department, University & Country	Technical University of Kosice, Slovakia