



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_56469
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Agro-Morphological characters among complete panicle emergence mutants of Samba Mahsuri (BPT-5204)
Type of the Article	Original

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Paper badly present, Absence of reference in methodology, Result was not well present, authors must firstly present what the found before the presentation of ANOVA data. Read more articles for the discussion Follow author guideline	
Minor REVISION comments	Figure and result are badly present,	
Optional/General comments	Authors must rewrite his paper according to author's guideline.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Likeng- Li- Ngue
Department, University & Country	University of Yaounde I, Cameroon