



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_54269
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Zn and B on the growth and nutrient uptake in groundnut
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Review word spacing only. I do not suggest modifications to the text, as it is concise and clear.	
Optional/General comments	The article aims to investigate the effect of combination between foliar zinc and boron on growth, nutrient uptake and its accumulation in pods and ultimately the yield of groundnut. The methodology is proper with a suitable sampling design. The results come from applied research and will be very useful in the country's agriculture. The text is clear and well written.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rosana Halinski de Oliveira
Department, University & Country	Brazil