#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



### SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_52619
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Proximate and Functional Properties of Flours from Brown Variety of African Yam Bean (Sphenostylis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### is stenocarpa) Seeds

#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



## SDI Review Form 1.6

## PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	My comments are as follow:	
	<ul> <li>In the <i>Keywords</i> section, since your title is: "Evaluation of the Proximate and Functional Properties of Flours from Brown Variety of African Yam Bean (<i>Sphenostylis stenocarpa</i>) Seeds"; I suggest to replace the words "African yam bean"; "proximate"; "functional"; by "Defatted flour", "Protein concentrate" or "Protein isolate", or other keywords to improve the scope of your research in the scientific websites databases.</li> <li>In line 32, please, put "Mrs." instead "Mrs"</li> <li>In lines 41 and 271, please, put "laboratory" instead "labouratory"</li> <li>In Figure 1, please, put "Dessolventizing" instead "Bosolventizing" in the "Flow diagram".</li> <li>In all the manuscript, replace the symbol "<sup>6</sup>" by "<sup>6</sup>" to express the "centigrade" temperature (Celsius degree).</li> <li>In line 184, please, put "H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>" instead "H2SO4"; do the same with H<sub>2</sub>O in lines 187 and 196; and NH<sub>3</sub> in line 197.</li> <li>In line 306, please, put "Foaming" instead "Fomaing".</li> <li>In line 351, please, put "isolate" instead "isolate".</li> <li>In line 357, what do you mean with "isolatefull"?</li> <li>In all the manuscript, replace the abbreviation "mis" by "ml" to express millilitre (or milliliter).</li> <li>In lines 283-285, you said: "Experimental data were analyzed using analysis of variance (Anova) and the fisher' least significant difference (LSD) was used to determine significant difference was considered to be significant difference (LSD) multiple range tests. The difference was considered to be significant difference (LSD) multiple range tests. The difference was considered to be significant difference (LSD) multiple range tests. The difference was considered to be significant difference (LSD) multiple range tests. The difference was considered to be significant difference (LSD) multiple range tests. The difference was considered to be significant difference (LSD) multiple range tests. The difference was considered to be significant difference (LSD) multiple range tests. The difference was considered to be</li></ul>	
	7.83 it is OK), with one decimal for numbers with two numbers before the "decimal point" (for example, in Table 1, round to 21.8 instead of 21.83). You can do the same with all the	
	numbers in the manuscript text.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	I really liked reading the manuscript entitled "Evaluation of the Proximate and Functional Properties of Flours from Brown Variety of African Yam Bean (Sphenostylis stenocarpa) Seeds". Manuscript is well organized but some proposed changes should be done. Some details in the Keywirds, Material and Method, Results and all the manuscript sections should be completed. In my standpoint, is accepted with major comments.	

## ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

## SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



### SDI Review Form 1.6

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Christian Encina Zelada
Department, University & Country	National Agrarian University La Molina, Perú

# l with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her