



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_52005
Title of the Manuscript:	Dynamical behavior of a tensegrity structure coupled to a spatial steel grid
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It is not clear how the values in Table 1-Table 3 were obtained.</li> <li>2. The origin of Eq. (1) is not specified.</li> <li>3. A reference to the original form of the equations (2) and (3) is required.</li> <li>4. Further details on obtaining the equation (5) are required.</li> <li>5. I think, the authors must strengthen the References section with some articles that use the same techniques, to make the techniques used more plausible, for instance: <a href="#">An evolutionary equation in thermoelasticity of dipolar bodies</a>, Journal of Mathematical Physics Phys., 40 (3), 1391-1399 (1999); <a href="#">On the domain of influence in thermoelasticity of bodies with voids</a>, Archivum Mathematicum, 33(4), 301-308 (1997); <a href="#">An uniqueness result for body with voids in linear thermoelasticity</a>, Rendiconti di Matematica, Roma, 17(7), 103-113 (1997)</li> </ol> <p>If the authors take into account all these corrections, I recommend the acceptance of the manuscript for publication.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p><b>Report on the manuscript</b></p> <p>Title: Dynamical behavior of a tensegrity structure coupled to a spatial steel grid</p> <p>Manuscript ID: CJUST_52005</p> <p>Journal: <a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a></p> <p>The topic of this manuscript can be of interest for readers of this valuable Journal. I have not found another approach for the dynamical behavior of a tensegrity structure coupled to a spatial steel grid.</p>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<p>Comparison between current literature and previous studies shows that the present results are new and correct.</p> <p>Generally speaking, the manuscript is well written and organized.</p> <p>For these reasons I can recommend the acceptance of this paper.</p> <p>However, before that the Editor makes a decision, I suggest that the authors take into account the corrections proposed in the above section</p> <p>“Minor REVISION comments”</p> <p>If the authors take into account all these corrections, I recommend the acceptance of the manuscript for publication.</p>	
--	---	--

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Marin Marin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Romania</b>