



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>                           |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_CJAST_51914  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Niche-proxies of soil from rhizosphere of Weeds from Bodo in Gokana, Rivers State, Nigeria. |
| Type of the Article      |   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | Regarding bibliographic citations:<br>1. There are citations in the text that are not the final list of references and vice versa<br>2. There are citations in the text with three authors together that should be cited considering "et al."<br>3. The final list of the references is not in alphabetical order.   |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | The manuscript presents data of interest in rhizosphere samples in altered soils. In this sense, this information is feasible to be published. The experimental development, the results and the statistical analyzes are in agreement with the proposed goal. The conclusions support the objective of the research.<br>The literature reported is in accordance with the theme developed, but it is necessary to write it correctly. |   |

**PART 2:**

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Ernestina Valadez-Moctezuma</b>           |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Universidad Autónoma Chapingo, México</b> |