SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_54663
Title of the Manuscript:	Public Perception on Labeling Genetically Modified (GM) Foods in Ghana: Implications for Food Policy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
Compulsory REVISION comments	 Introduction is good, but too much of information, next background also included, so reduce the unnecessary sentences. Background- need to reduce the points 	his/her feedback here)
	Review of Literature- I s good, but no recent researches, after 2014 there is no reviews. Need to include Author peed to include ref for all the information (for an Codey Committee on Food).	
	 Author need to include ref for all the information (for eg. Codex Committee on Food no ref in the text) 	
	Demographic questions included age, gender, residence, income, education and frequency of shopping at the ted supermarket check	
	6. Work is good, but the way of conveying of matters to readers in quite complicated. Authors need to concise the matter and given to the readers, then the lay person	
	also understands what they going to convey. One more is, for the research paper this much of long manuscript is acceptable or not	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		
	Kindly rebuild the matter and submit to the journal	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Immaculate Jeyasanta
Department, University & Country	Suganthi Devadason Marine Research Institute, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)