



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_54311
Title of the Manuscript:	A comparative assessment of series wise soil fertility in Bheramara upazilla of Kushtia district between the years 1995 to 2016
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reading the title I expected to see the evolution in time between the two years, so it may be a small change of the title there is no doubt of interpretation • For results and discussions it would be good that instead of the expression little bit, and here I refer to the components that grow or decrease in soil composition to be expressed statistically. insignificant increase or significant to give a more scientific touch. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cristina Hegedüs
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, România