



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_59030
Title of the Manuscript:	Heavy Metal Analysis of Three Urban Rivers in Enugu, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Results: There is a need to mention Results Table and Graph with heavy metals concentrations (Name of the Metal, River name (Presence of metal concentration identified), EPA permissible limits, so that can be easy to show results and will helpful to how much metal concentrations presence in the study area.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Study area: If identify the major pollution source/ which type of the industries can mention or type of waste or effluents are release/ causing the heavy metal pollution based of particular toxicity of the metal in the selected study area surroundings.</p> <p>Also mention the Month and Year of the research/study conducted about this article.(It will be useful for conduct further research studies)</p> <p>Metal Analysis of Water: In this mention importance of AAS with Make and model number used for the sample analysis, also if available mention the condition of the AAS parameters showing that Time of measurement ,Wave length, Lamp current, Spectral width slit ,Flame air, Acetylene flow rate etc.)</p> <p>Conclusion: In this Author can mention the Pollution controlling suggestions or recommendations, or scope of the further recommended studies with reference to the present</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	study. Language errors: Over all article found some language grammar errors also (,) between words please rectify while doing revision.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Study area: if Possible can change the Image as a multi-colour photo for identifying study area.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vardi Venkateswarlu
Department, University & Country	Vikrama Simhapuri University, India