



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_54733
Title of the Manuscript:	Physicochemical characteristics of fungal xylanases and their potential for biobleaching of kraft and non-wood pulps
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The authors' manuscript (minireview) contain useful information that is very meaningful and interesting to some biotechnology readers. The manuscript also presents a table summarizing information for these readers to easily understand. The topic itself is appropriate for "Annual Research & Review in Biology", however, the present manuscript has not yet completed. Therefore, I recommended this minireview needs the following minor revisions:</p> <p>1) The unit of weight that appears in the fourth sentence (The most --- water) from the top in "INTRODUCTION" section should be united in tons (it is difficult to understand if tonnes and cubic meters are mixed).</p> <p>2) If the serial number (NBRC10058 or ATCC10058) of the preservation institution is known for the fungus names described listed in Table 1, the authors should list those number next to the microorganism name.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Kohji Ishihara</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Okayama University of Science, Japan</i>