



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_54505
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Marketing Margins and Efficiency of Cassava-based product in Cross River Central Agricultural Zone, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	----	
Minor REVISION comments	----	
Optional/General comments	The chosen topic is very interesting . The author has given appropriate recommendations on trading activities and attributes of garri traders should be regulated by governments to ensure efficiency in the business, government, corporate bodies and NGO's should assist in the rehabilitation of feeder roads to guarantee easy movement of garri from the producers to the consumers, thereby ensuring efficiency.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M.V.Chandramathi
Department, University & Country	DPS Nacharam, Telangana