



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Annual Research & Review in Biology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARRB_45646 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Modeling and Molecular Docking Studies on Alangium salvifolium (Alanginaceae) as a Target for Anti-oxidant Enzyme |
| Type of the Article | Original Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | --- | --- |
| Minor REVISION comments | <p>Minor REVISION, prior to acceptance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> References are not in proper order and format, authors needs to correct it. If possible include the recent references. As per my understanding, every natural source is antioxidant and having different pharmacological properties based on their precursing activities. Might be my way of understanding is different but why there is need to evaluate this speculated plant with antioxidant activity. Line no. 47 and 48 . The antioxidant enzymes property of isolated from inveterated on streptozotocin-induced diabetic Wister rats.....what authors mean to say? Oxygen is an element compulsory for life; living systems have evolved to survive in the presence of molecular oxygen and most biological systems..... this should not be the part of introduction. As we are convincing the scientific community and not for vain. Discussion should be re-written as it is totally vague. <p>Why plant name has been written in bold?</p> | • |
| Optional/General comments | --- | --- |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | <i>Pankaj G. Jain</i> |
| Department, University & Country | <i>R. C. Patel Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, India</i> |