



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_66021
Title of the Manuscript:	On the existence of time delay for rotating beam with proportional-derivative controller
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Notations and symbols are to be defined.</p> <p>2. How the equations 1(a) and 1(b) are framed? Mention the steps in detail and give a clear explanation.</p> <p>3. Explain in details about the steps in getting eq(9).</p> <p>4. In section 3.1, how the values are chosen? Any restrictions over the selection of values?</p> <p>5. Any other set of values, will they satisfy section 3.1?</p> <p>6. In Results and discussion part, a comparison study and effect of some parameters on amplitude has been made. But what you are conveying from the above comparison and effect of some parameters apart from saying increasing or decreasing? Any new information are you conveying? If so, kindly discuss that too. It will be helpful for all the readers.</p> <p>7. Language could have been better</p> <p>8. Still more a detailed explanation is required in all the sections</p> <p>9. Methodology of solving to be clearly defined.</p> <p>10. Kindly refer and add more recent journals (2018-2020) in reference</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	NIL	
Optional/General comments	NIL	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S.Maragatha Sundari
Department, University & Country	Kalasalingam University, India