#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



## SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_53870
Title of the Manuscript:	Offline Handwritten Signature Recognition based on SURF features using SVMs
Type of the Article	Image recognition

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title, abstract and the body of the work did not agree, the title is surf but the body is sift and surf. There is nothing bad in combining them but it has to be stated in the title, abstract and the body of the work. There are too much typos A lot of literature in the method, the authors should state the existing work and the modification to the existing work, that will show the novelty of the article, when there is none it should be clearly stated. A pictorial representation should accompany each of the processing stages.Finally the performance metrics shoud have been more based on the feature extraction	
Minor REVISION comments	The work should be accepted after the corrections effected.	
Optional/General comments	It is a good short to be candid.	

### <u>to</u>

# <u>PART 2:</u>

		Author's comment (if agreen highlight that part in the should write his/her feedbo
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Adekunle Adebola
Department, University & Country	Adeyemi College of Education Ondo, Nigeria

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

reed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors aback here)